

THE SOLICITORS' JOURNAL AND WEEKLY REPORTER.

Vol. 52 of THE SOLICITORS' JOURNAL AND WEEKLY REPORTER commenced on November 2nd, 1907. Annual Subscription, which must be paid in advance: £1 6s.; by post, £1 8s.; Foreign, £1 10s. 4d.

Cheques payable to H. Villers.

OFFICE: 27, CHANCERY LANE.

COUNTY FIRE OFFICE LIMITED,

50, REGENT STREET, W., & 14, CORNHILL, E.C., LONDON.

The business of this Office is confined to the United Kingdom. No Foreign Risks undertaken.

Losses promptly settled.

FIRE INSURANCES granted at current Rates of Premium, for particulars of which apply to the Branches and Agencies of the Company, or to
F. G. REYNOLDS, Secretary.

LEGAL AND GENERAL LIFE ASSURANCE SOCIETY.

ESTABLISHED 1836.

FUNDS	-	-	-	-	£5,500,000
INCOME	-	-	-	-	£722,000
YEARLY BUSINESS	-	-	-	-	£2,790,000
BUSINESS IN FORCE	-	-	-	-	£21,000,000

THE PERFECTED SYSTEM of Life Assurance is peculiar to this Society and embraces every modern advantage.

PERFECTED MAXIMUM POLICIES.

WITHOUT PROFITS.

The Rates for these Whole Life Policies are very moderate.

Age	Premium	Age	Premium	Age	Premium
20	£1 7 8 %	30	£1 16 %	40	£2 10 %

£1,000 POLICY WITH BONUSES

According to last results.

Valuation at 2½ p.c. :—Hm. Table of Mortality.

Duration	10 yrs.	20 yrs.	30 yrs.	40 yrs.
Amount of Policy	£1,199	£1,438	£1,724	£2,067

Full information on application to

THE MANAGER, 10, FLEET STREET, LONDON.

The Solicitors' Journal and Weekly Reporter.

LONDON, NOVEMBER 23, 1907.

. The Editor cannot undertake to return rejected contributions, and copies should be kept of all articles sent by writers who are not on the regular staff of the JOURNAL.

All letters intended for publication must be authenticated by the name of the writer.

Contents.

CURRENT TOPICS	53	LAW STUDENTS' JOURNAL	60
REASUREMENTS UNDER THE SETTLED LAND ACT	56	LEGAL NEWS	62
REIMBURSING THE LAWYER	56	COURT PAPERS	63
REVIEW	57	WINDING-UP NOTICES	63
SOCIETIES	60	CREDITORS' NOTICES	63
		BANKRUPTCY NOTICES	63

Cases Reported this Week.

Bristol United Breweries (Lim.) v. Abbot	59
Colne Valley Water Co. v. Hall	57
Hunt v. Maw	58
Lloyd v. Prichard	58
Mansell v. Griffin	59

Current Topics.

The Late Senior Chancery Registrar.

WE REGRET to announce the death, on the 15th inst., of Mr. LOFTUS LEIGH PEMBERTON, late the Senior Chancery Registrar. Only four months ago he retired from his post in the hope of enjoying well-earned rest after his service as registrar of over thirty years, during nine of which he had been Senior Registrar. Our readers who knew him will join in deploring the sad event which cut short his anticipations. It is noteworthy that Mr. NELSON WARD, whom Mr. PEMBERTON succeeded as Senior Registrar in 1898, is still living, and in his eightieth year.

The Earthquake in Jamaica.

THE RECENT verdict of the jury in the action by policy-holders in Jamaica, for damage to their property by fire on the occasion of the earthquake, has caused much dissatisfaction among the leading insurance companies in England. These companies do not insure against earthquake, and their policies contain the following clause: "If a building or any part thereof fall, or become untenable, except as the result of fire, all insurance by this policy on such building or its contents shall immediately cease." The jury, after a protracted trial, appear to have found that the fire by which the premises in Jamaica were destroyed at the time of the recent earthquake broke out before the earthquake, and was in no respect connected with it. A similar verdict in the case of other premises destroyed by fire will practically supersede the earthquake clause, and prevent the companies from deriving any advantage from it. The difficulty of securing a fair and impartial trial on a question arising between English companies and inhabitants of a remote island—a large proportion of whom are fellow sufferers with the plaintiffs—is great. We cannot be surprised that it has increased the distrust of trial by jury, and that it is recommended that an effort should be made, either to frame a clause which shall exclude all claims founded upon any fire which has occurred within a considerable interval of an earthquake, or which shall require that all claims under fire policies effected in the Colonies shall be adjusted by a Board of Arbitration.

Shareholder's Right to Sue the Company.

IT IS many years since the case of *Foss v. Harbottle* (1843, 2 Ha. 461) was decided, and though there have been numerous decisions on the same lines, there is still some doubt as to the application of the principle. The principle itself is reasonably clear and concerns the competence of one or more shareholders in a company to make, on behalf of the entire body, a claim affecting that entire body. The principle is that, where the internal management of the company is in question, dissentient shareholders cannot bring an action except in the name of the company, for the simple reason that the proper tribunal to settle disputes of that kind is a general meeting of the shareholders. In other words, an act *ultra vires* the directors can be confirmed and made valid by the company and so render any interference of the court unnecessary. But if the act is *ultra vires* the company, it cannot be confirmed, and any shareholder, even in a minority of one, can bring an action in his own name. All that is clear enough; the difficulty lies in saying what is, and what is not, within the internal management of the company. The latest case is *Normandy v. Ind Coope & Co.* (Times, 8th November), which we have already noticed (*ante*, p. 3) on another point. There the question was whether shareholders could sue for a declaration that an agreement granting a retiring pension to a managing director was *ultra vires*. KEEWICH, J., held that the agreement was within the powers of the company, and the plaintiffs could not maintain an action in respect of it; they must appeal to the company in general meeting and abide

by the verdict of that meeting. His lordship said that, though all the numerous cases professed to proceed on the same general principle, it was not easy to reconcile them. He would not attempt another statement of the principle which might serve to obscure the pronouncements of others, but would content himself by referring to Lindley on Companies (vol. 1, pp. 769-782) as containing an exhaustive and complete exposition of the law.

Re-organization of the Colonial Office.

A PARLIAMENTARY Blue Book has been issued setting out the details of the re-organization of the Colonial Office promised at the Imperial Conference. The line of cleavage will now be one of status instead of geographical position, so that the self-governing dominions will be dealt with in one department, and the Crown Colonies in another. The first of these is to be called the Dominions department, and to it will be linked the secretariat of the Imperial Conference. The other department is to be known as the Colonial or Crown Colonies department, and will have charge of the Protectorates. The third or General department will also be the Legal department. In connection with the General or Legal department, there is one small reform which might well be carried out now that the whole office is being re-organized. This reform has to do with the distribution of Colonial statutes. Some fifteen or twenty institutions (including the Inn Libraries, Law Society, &c.) receive, or should receive, copies of the statutes passed by every Colonial Legislature at the end of every parliamentary session. Practically, a good deal of difficulty is experienced in getting these copies punctually, each Colony sending direct to the various recipients in England. Much friction and unnecessary correspondence would be saved if the new Legal department of the Colonial Office would arrange to have all Colonial statutes sent in the first instance to Downing-street, to be distributed from Downing-street to the Inns of Court, Law Society, &c.

Playing Billiards for Money on Licensed Premises.

THE QUESTION whether a publican who allows a game of skill for stakes to be played on licensed premises is guilty of the offence of "suffering any gaming or unlawful game to be carried on on his premises" may be said to have been finally decided in the English courts. In *Bew v. Harstone* (3 Q. B. D. 454) the court (COCKBURN, C.J., and MELLOR, J.) upheld a conviction where the publican had allowed a game called puff and dart to be played on his premises, the object in which is to hit a mark on a target with a small dart blown through a tube. Each of the players contributed a sum of 2d. as entrance money, the sum so contributed being applied to the purchase of a dead rabbit, which formed the prize for which the game was played. The judgment was a considered one, but the Lord Chief Justice expressed doubt as to whether the term "gaming" did not imply something which in its nature depended on chance, or in which chance is an element. In the more recent case of *Dyson v. Mason* (1889, 22 Q. B. D. 351), "skittle pool," which is a lawful game of skill, was played for small money stakes on a billiard table in licensed premises, and it was held that the licensed person could properly be convicted. Finally, in *Craig v. Boyan* (1901, 2 Ir. R. 429), the conviction was upheld where the game of "pool" had been allowed to be played for small money stakes on a billiard table in the licensed premises, though it was strenuously argued that such a decision would involve the closing of the billiard rooms in every hotel in the kingdom. The Supreme Court of New Zealand has proceeded with more deliberation, and in the recent case of *Marshall v. Croom* (26 N. Z. L. R. 161) listened to an argument as to whether the prohibition applied to a game of skill, such as billiards. But the court ended by following the English decisions, and held that "gaming" in its ordinary grammatical sense can mean nothing but the playing of a game upon the result of which a stake depends, and it "is just as much gaming if the game is a game of skill as if it is a game of chance."

A Question of Evidence.

AT THE recent Staffordshire Assizes a somewhat unusual situation was revealed which gave rise to an interesting question of evidence. A man was indicted under the Criminal Law

Amendment Act, 1885, for the offence of having connection with a girl under sixteen years of age. Apparently there was no sufficient evidence to justify either a conviction or the finding of a true bill, apart from the evidence of the girl herself. The defendant, however, while on bail awaiting his trial, married the girl; and when she was summoned before the grand jury at the assizes she refused to give any evidence, on the ground that she was the defendant's wife. The judge refused to interfere, and the grand jury threw out the bill. Now, at common law, except in cases of offences against her own person, and perhaps in cases of treason, a wife is not a competent witness for or against her husband. But by the Criminal Evidence Act, 1898, she is in general allowed to give evidence, but only for her husband and at his request. The question of the admissibility of the wife's evidence must, it is submitted, depend on her condition as wife at the time her evidence is requested. It cannot affect the law that she has only recently become his wife, and so the fact that she became his wife after the offence was committed seems to be immaterial. In certain cases, however, it is provided by the Act of 1898 that the wife of a defendant may be called for the prosecution, and without the husband's consent. Amongst these cases is any offence under the Act under which the defendant was charged in the recent case. The last-mentioned Act provides that a wife shall be competent, but not compellable, to give evidence against her husband. It is submitted that the Act of 1898, which allows her to be called without his consent, does not alter the law as contained in the Act of 1885, or make the wife compellable to give evidence against her husband. Therefore, although in some cases a wife is allowed to give evidence against her husband against his consent, there does not seem to be any case in which she can be compelled to give such evidence. In general, there seems to be no reason why the rule should be different in the case of evidence before a grand jury. It is to be noticed, however, that in the Act of 1885 the provision is that a wife shall be a competent, but not compellable, witness at every stage of the charge against her husband, "except an inquiry before a grand jury." It is not clear why this exception is made; for if the wife is willing to give evidence before the petty jury, it is not easy to see why she should be forbidden to give evidence before the grand jury. The exception is, however, probably accidental rather than designed, for the Act deals with the person charged and the husband or wife of such person together, and the exception applies to both. It would be clearly improper for a defendant to himself go before the grand jury; and it has been held in *Reg. v. Rhodes* (1899, 1 Q. B. 77) that the Act of 1898 gives a defendant no such right.

Evidence of Continued Life of Annuitant.

A POINT of considerable practical importance is dealt with in the decision of the Court of Appeal in *Hunt v. Maw* (reported elsewhere)—namely, whether a person liable to make a periodical payment during the life of the payee is entitled to any and what evidence that the payee is alive at the date of any particular payment falling due. The difficulties which arise in connection with evidence of the continuance of life interests have been recognized and provided for by the Legislature in certain cases. In the case of an estate *pur autre vie* in land, the remainderman can require production of the *cestui que vie* under the Cestui que Vie Act, 1707, though the procedure is troublesome and expensive. In the case of Government annuities, the National Debt Commissioners can require evidence of the continued life under 10 Geo. 4, c. 24, s. 25. Payment of income out of funds in court is regulated by rule 48 (e) of the Supreme Court Funds Rules, 1905. The payment is made on a request signed by the payee and attested in the prescribed manner. But, apart from statutes and rules of court, it does not appear that the person liable to pay can call for any evidence of the life of the payee. In *Hunt v. Maw* the plaintiff was liable under a separation deed to make periodical payments to his former wife or her trustees during her life. He applied by summons under R. S. C., ord. 54a, for a declaration whether he was bound to pay until proper evidence of her life should be produced, and whether such evidence should not contain the address at which she was living at the date of payment, or such evidence as would enable him

to verify the fact that she was living. Mr. Justice KEKEWICH, before whom the application came, considered that the plaintiff was entitled to evidence of the life, but not of the address, of his former wife, and that the evidence should consist of a certificate by a person, whose address and occupation were given, that she had been seen by the person certifying alive at or after the due date of payment. The Court of Appeal, however, have refused even this measure of relief, and have based their decision on the ground that while, if the annuitant were put to her action, she must in that action prove her life, yet this was at the risk of the person liable, who must, if she gave the necessary proof, pay the costs. Order 54s enables any person claiming under a deed, &c., to obtain a determination of any question of construction or to obtain a declaration of the rights of the persons interested; but in the view of the Court of Appeal the application did not come within this order, and the declaration made by KEKEWICH, J., was discharged. "The position," said COZENS-HARDY, M.R., "of a covenantor in a deed of this kind is that if he is sued, it is at his own risk whether he will defend the action." In most cases of this nature the doubt as to continuance of life arises from the disappearance of the annuitant. If this lasts for seven years the liability is at an end, and if no intermediate payments have been made, they cannot be recovered for her estate: *Re Aldersey* (1905, 2 Ch. 181). But there may be cases in which the want of power to compel the production of evidence of life may be the cause of great inconvenience. It is worthy of consideration whether an express covenant for production of evidence might not be inserted in the deed. The present case shews that no covenant to that effect can be implied.

Mistaken Identity.

A CURIOUS case of mistaken identity recently occurred before one of the metropolitan police magistrates. A lad named WILLIAM SCOTT, sixteen years old, was charged with larceny, and was remanded for inquiries. A report was ultimately received that he had been previously convicted, but the prisoner stoutly denied the truth of this report and was again remanded. At a subsequent hearing, a detective sergeant reported that there was no doubt that SCOTT's statement was true, and that he had not previously been in trouble. But a curious part of the case was that there was another WILLIAM SCOTT, of the same age and height, and having eyes and hair of the same colour, and each of them had a blue mark on the left hand. The magistrate, in binding the lad over to come up for judgment if called upon, observed that it was one of those curious coincidences which occasionally happened. Had finger-prints been taken, the mistake would not have happened, for these finger-prints would not have been alike. The number of coincidences in this case is certainly remarkable. The mere identity of name, the name not being an unusual one, would be scarcely sufficient *prima facie* evidence of identity to require the prisoner to rebut or overcome the presumption that he was the person referred to in the conviction. But the further coincidences with regard to age, general appearance, and marks of a peculiar character would in nearly every case be considered as inconsistent with the proposition that there was nothing but an accidental resemblance between two persons, and would form a chain of circumstantial evidence upon which a conviction might well have been supported. The case certainly serves to illustrate the occasional uncertainty of what are regarded as the most reliable means of identification.

Objection to Changing the Name of a Street.

A PETITION which has been addressed to the Holborn Borough Council by the occupiers of business premises in Southampton-row is founded upon a supposed right to the maintenance of the address by which a house or shop has hitherto been known. It appears that the London County Council propose to re-name a part of Southampton-row, between Holborn and Southampton-row, and to call it "Kingsway," making it a continuation of the new road from the Strand. The petitioners have a strong objection to this change. They allege that their business has grown up in the course of years, and has become known under its existing address, and that the alteration in the name of the street would perplex those who have dealings with them,

and possibly cause a diminution of custom and receipts. There can be no doubt that, where business premises are favourably situated, a removal of the business to other premises may seriously lessen its value, but in the case which is the subject of the petition the business is unaltered; it is carried on in the same street; and all that is complained of is the giving of a new name to the street. It is the recognized usage in the large towns, both of England and the Continent, to alter the names of streets. In France the names of streets have often been changed from political motives, and we can hardly imagine that a remonstrance from any one who fancied that his business would suffer if the name of the street in which he lived were altered to one commemorating the proclamation of the Republic, would receive serious attention. The Metropolis Management Acts, which enable the county council to alter the name of any street to any other name which they think fit, must have considered that any trifling inconvenience which might be caused to individuals could not be set up against the convenience of the inhabitants of London. A complaint similar to that made by the petitioners, though less in degree, might be made against any renumbering of houses in a street.

A Thief in the Character of Prosecutor.

WE HAVE no reason to believe that those who have been guilty of a deliberate violation of the law of their country would have any hesitation in appealing to that law for redress for any injury which they have themselves sustained. But any one who has recently brought himself within reach of the criminal law may have some natural objection to appearing as a prosecutor in a criminal court, inasmuch as the inquiry may throw a strong and unpleasant light upon his previous history. The French newspapers report a case in which the foreman in an ironmonger's shop, having robbed his master of some twenty pounds, was on the same evening attacked in one of the streets of Paris by a number of hooligans, who took possession of the money which he had stolen. This outrage had such an effect upon him that he went at once to the police station, explained how he became possessed of the money, and preferred a charge against those who had attacked him. We have no wish to take an uncharitable view of his proceedings, but we are inclined to think that the same egotism which caused him to forget his duties to his master can be discovered in his anxiety to procure the punishment of those whose offence was no greater than his own.

Pageants and The Temple.

THE COMPANY of lawyers, and friends of lawyers, who looked upon the pageant of the Lord Mayor's Show from the gardens of the Temple may have been unaware of the fact that in former times pageants were exhibited by the Inns of Court as well as by the City Corporation. It is mentioned in the life of RICHARD NASH, commonly called Beau NASH, that, having become a student of the Inner Temple, and having distinguished himself by his good manners and by his taste in dress, he was selected by the students of the Middle Temple to superintend the pageant which they exhibited before WILLIAM III. in 1695, and displayed so much skill in the matter that the king offered to knight him. The road to knighthood is, perhaps, more difficult at the present day, and in any case we should be surprised if any student of the Inns of Court were selected for that honour on grounds similar to those which were considered sufficient by so grave a monarch as WILLIAM III.

The Recent Law Society Examinations.

THERE is a noteworthy difference in the proportions of successful candidates in the recent Intermediate and Final Examinations. Out of 195 candidates at the Intermediate Examination no fewer than 151 passed, being about three-fourths; while at the Final Examination only 106 candidates out of 205 passed, being about half. The results at the last June Examinations were similar as to the Intermediate, but out of 325 candidates for the Final, 226 passed. No doubt the Final is, and ought to be, a more searching test of merit than the Intermediate, but it might be suggested that industry and ability would bear a more equal ratio in both of them. The truth is, however, as any one who has had to do with examina-

tions knows, that there are great variations in the standard attained by the highest marksmen in successive examinations of the same kind, and still more so in the lower marksmen. The true comparison will be between the marks obtained by the men at the recent Intermediate when they come to attempt the Final.

Easements under the Settled Land Acts.

In his recent decision in *Re Brotherton* (*ante*, p. 44), JOYCE, J., seems to have adopted the opinion of Mr. CHALLIS that easements are not within the definition of "land" contained in the Settled Land Act, 1882, because they are not incorporeal hereditaments. This view is quite contrary to many weighty authorities. BLACKSTONE treats rights of way as incorporeal hereditaments; so does Mr. JOSHUA WILLIAMS; so does Mr. LEAKE. In *McManus v. Cooke* (35 Ch. D. 681) KAY, J., said that a contract to grant an easement, "if not concerning lands, is certainly concerning a hereditament," and, therefore, within the 4th section of the Statute of Frauds. In *Jones v. Watts* (43 Ch. D. 574) COTTON, L.J., said: "A right of way is certainly a hereditament." In *Great Western Railway v. Swindon, &c., Railway* (22 Ch. D. 677, 9 App. Cas. 787) all the judges seem to have assumed that an easement is an incorporeal hereditament, following FRY, J., who said in *Hill v. Midland Railway* (21 Ch. D. 143), speaking of the right to make and use a tunnel under the plaintiff's land: "It appears to me to be plainly a hereditament. . . . There are decisions which shew that generally easements are not included within the word 'lands' in the 85th section [of the Lands Clauses Act, 1845]. But why are they not included? Not because they are not hereditaments within the meaning of the 85th section, but simply because there is usually no power [given to the company] to take an easement." In *Lord Hastings v. North-Eastern Railway* (1898, 2 Ch. 674), a landowner granted a right of way to a railway company over his land; BYRNE, J., said that this was not only an incorporeal hereditament, but a tenement within the definition of Lord COKE (1 Inst. 20a), being an inheritance concerning, or annexed to, or exerciseable within, a corporate inheritance; his decision was affirmed by the Court of Appeal (1899, 1 Ch. 656) and by the House of Lords (1900, A. C. 260). In the face of these authorities it seems difficult to contend that an easement is not an incorporeal hereditament within the meaning of the Settled Land Acts.

It is true that a perpetual easement differs from other incorporeal hereditaments in this, that it cannot be held in gross, and that, consequently, it can only be conveyed in two cases—when it is granted with the land to which it is appurtenant, and when it is released to the owner of the servient tenement. But this merely limits the opportunities of dealing with an easement by way of sale or exchange. It is also true that when an easement is released to the owner of the servient tenement it is extinguished, but this does not affect the nature of the transaction. A release of an easement in consideration of a money payment is not the less a sale because the easement ceases to exist. It is like the surrender of a term of years to the owner of the reversion.

In applying these principles to the provisions of the Settled Land Acts it is essential to distinguish between a power to deal with existing easements and a power to create new easements. Where land which is settled has annexed to it an easement over adjoining land, this easement is "part of the settled land," and if the Legislature had intended merely to give tenants for life power to deal with easements of this kind, it would not have been necessary for the Settled Land Acts to refer to easements by name, because the fact that existing easements, being incorporeal hereditaments, are included in the definition of "land," enables any tenant for life to deal with an existing easement by way of sale or exchange in the same way as he is empowered to sell or exchange a single cottage or a single field. The reason why easements are specifically referred to in the Settled Land Acts is because it was necessary to give

a tenant for life power to create new easements, which he could not do under the statutory powers of sale and exchange. That this is so is clear from the power given to a tenant for life to sell any easement over the settled land; this can only refer to an easement created *de novo*. The same remark applies to section 5 of the Settled Land Act, 1890.

The difference between a power to deal with existing easements and a power to create new easements lies at the basis of the decisions above cited on the Lands Clauses Acts: see particularly *per JESSEL, M.R.*, 22 Ch. D., at p. 697; *per BOWEN, L.J.*, at p. 709. It is, therefore, erroneous to suppose, because easements are specifically referred to in certain provisions of the Settled Land Acts, that the Legislature did not intend existing easements to be within the powers of sale and exchange given to tenants for life.

C. S.

Eliminating the Lawyer.

SPEAKING the other day on the subject of the Patents Act, Mr. LLOYD-GEORGE pointed out that the absence of organization in this country was a national characteristic which had been the means of bringing out individual qualities, and was in that way responsible for a good deal of national greatness. But he added that, with Acts of Parliament like the one he was dealing with, you must have organization. He hoped a cheaper method of procedure had been provided; they had done their best to eliminate the lawyer.

There have been of late years several legislative efforts to eliminate the lawyer and substitute some form of officialdom, the chief of which, besides the one in which Mr. LLOYD-GEORGE claims to have done his best to effect that object, are the Land Transfer Acts and the Public Trustee Act. Legislation of this character is admittedly experimental and empirical, and it is generally sought to justify, or rather excuse, it by saying that you can always repeal it if it does not succeed. A more important argument could hardly be put forward. To justify the commission of an error by saying that it can always be put right; to excuse the waste of public money by saying that it can always be put a stop to, is surely a strange confusion of ideas, if not a confession of incapacity; and we beg leave to remind the amateur legislator that he cannot try his 'prentice hand at law-making without risk to the community, for though he may repeal an Act of Parliament, he cannot repeal the mischief which it has caused. Nor is it always a simple matter to repeal an Act of Parliament, especially when it establishes a public department. The average official is a colourless individual, but he has one marked characteristic: he sticks like a limpet. To dislodge a public servant when he has once got hold of a comfortable sinecure, to disestablish officials who have once got established, is an almost impossible task except by recourse to the ruinous expedient of full pensions.

Individualism has done great things in the past, and it would be lamentable indeed if it were to be stifled in the future. The voluntary system, as applied to solicitors, is not without its faults, and it would be idle to deny the fact. There are black sheep in every profession, and the legal profession is not an exception. We must sorrowfully admit that there have been some solicitors who have preferred their costs to their clients, and a few, though very few, who have seemed to think that an easy conscience is a less desirable possession than their clients' money. But when all is said and done, the public greatly prefers the solicitor to the official, and in the nature of things it must be so, for a solicitor may be a familiar friend, an official can never be more than a receiver of fees. If we have to pay, and we generally do have to pay pretty heavily, for the protection of the law—for however benign the law may be, it is not benevolent in the sense of being gratuitous—we would much rather pay the family solicitor than a Government official. This is not a merely sentimental preference founded on association or habit or anything of that sort, but is based on practical considerations of convenience and material advantage. The family solicitor is a very useful institution in many ways in which an official could never aspire to be. If you want a loan, he is ready to provide it; if you are in trouble, he is ready to give you advice and

sympathy; if you feel unequal to fighting your own battles, he is ready to fight them for you. It is true that he does not do all this for nothing. Why should he? He is not a philanthropist, though we have known solicitors who have refused to take fees from their clients when they could not afford to pay them. The practice is not common, but it exists. Now, none of these things can be done by an official, least of all can he remit fees. Bound hard and fast by rules and red tape, destitute of impulses and initiative, incapable of sympathy or of winning confidences, he is little more than a mere machine.

That being so, we turned with some curiosity to the Public Trustee Act to see if, perchance, the latest public official had been endowed with anything more than the usual routine functions. The result was somewhat of a shock. We had somehow expected that a Public Trustee would be a public benefactor, or at least that the Act would confer substantial advantages on those interested in trusts. We thought to find provision for the speedy, safe, and cheap administration of trusts. But we found nothing of the sort. Indeed, the Act strikes us as being framed rather in the interests of the Office than in the interests of the public itself, and this view is rather confirmed by the fact that the Office is already advertising itself extensively. We were certainly surprised to find that the Public Trustee is empowered to charge not only fees—that we expected—but also costs, charges, and expenses. But that is not all. By section 9 the fees are to be arranged so as to produce an annual amount sufficient to discharge the working expenses of the Act, including any sum required to insure the Consolidated Fund against loss under the Act. Can this really mean what it says, and is an estate to be charged not only with fees and costs, charges and expenses, but also with additional fees to make up the working expenses and loss under the Act—that is, expenses and loss incurred in the administration of other estates? If so, comment is needless. Then we turned to section 3, as to small estates, expecting to find some ingenious device for minimizing the cost of administering small estates, or at least a reduction or remission of fees. But the section does nothing to justify the Act. It does little more than provide that rules may be made to enable the Public Trustee to take the opinion of the court without judicial proceedings, and to make the procedure “simple and inexpensive.” The rules, however, do not seem to make the administration of small estates any the less simple or any the less expensive. A small estate, by the way, is an estate under £1,000, where the persons entitled are persons of small means. What is a person of small means? We remember a judge once describing a man as a person of small means whom we were inclined to regard as a person of affluence. We do not know whether officials generally have equally large ideas of small means. We have said enough to shew that the Act fails to disclose any sufficient reason for preferring the Public Trustee to a private solicitor. We can regard it with equanimity, believing, as we do, that this latest attempt to eliminate the lawyer will fail, and that the lawyer will continue to practise and prosper long after the Public Trustee has ceased to vex the soul, if it has one, of the legal profession.

Reviews.

Trust Companies.

THE LAW OF CORPORATE EXECUTORS AND TRUSTEES. By ERNEST KING ALLEN, Barrister-at-Law. Stevens & Sons (Limited).

The employment of companies to act as executors and trustees has not yet attained to any considerable dimensions, and since trust affairs are usually more conveniently left in private hands, it may be doubted whether it will, in this country, become of much practical importance. Companies are, after all, no more than aggregates of individuals, and the corporate trustee is only willing to do for the pecuniary benefit of its individual managers and members the work which is better done by other individuals without remuneration. However, the possibility of employing corporate trustees is recognized by the Legislature and established in practice, and upon the various questions which arise in this connection Mr. Allen's book affords useful information. He treats of corporations as executors, of corporations as trustees, and of the remuneration of the corporation, and concludes with some general observations, including remarks on the protection to corporate trustees in case of breach of trust. Under

this last head he notes the distinction drawn in *National Trustees Co. v. General Finance Agency of Australia* (1906, A. C. 373), as regards relief from breach of trust, between companies and gratuitous trustees, and, indeed, the *raison d'être* of a company seems to be that no breaches of trust will be committed. The corporate trustee can hardly expect to get the relief extended to ordinary trustees.

Books of the Week.

An Index to the Official Reports of Income Tax Cases. Compiled by E. R. HARRISON, LL.B., Barrister-at-Law. Butterworth & Co.

Wintering in Rome. By A. G. WELSFORD, M.D., B.C. (Cantab.). With an Introduction by G. SANDISON BROCK, M.D. (Gold Medal), C.M. (Edin.). Second Edition. The Health Resorts Bureau.

The Limited Partnerships Act, 1907, with Notes Thereon. By HENRY HURRELL, Barrister-at-Law. Waterlow & Sons (Limited).

The Lawyer's Companion and Diary and London and Provincial Law Directory for 1908, with Table of Costs, New Stamp Duties, Time Table of the Courts, Index to Practical Statutes, Public Statutes of 1906 and 1907, Legal Business of the Months, Oaths in Supreme Court, Estate, Legacy and Succession Duties, Legal Time, Interest, Discount, and other Tables. Edited by E. LAYMAN, B.A., Barrister-at-Law. Including new Tables specially compiled by a Fellow of the Institute of Actuaries. Sixty-second Annual Issue. Stevens & Sons (Limited); Shaw & Sons.

The Solicitors' Diary, Almanack, and Legal Directory, 1908, containing an Excellent Diary for Each Day in the Year, Treatises on the Stamp Act and on Estate, Succession and Legacy Duties, Lists of County Courts, Recorders, Town Clerks, Clerks of the Peace, Coroners, Under Sheriffs, King's Counsel, &c.; Information as to Oaths in Supreme Court, Jurats, &c.; Suggestions on Registering Deeds, &c., at Public Offices, Table of the Solicitors' Acts, the Solicitors' Remuneration Order and Scale, Precedents of Costs; Lists of District Registries, Official Receivers in Bankruptcy; Parliamentary, Insurance, and Banking Directories, &c. A Digest of the Public General Acts of the Autumn Session of 1906 and the Session of 1907 (7 Ed. 7), with Alphabetical Index, &c.; Lists of London and Provincial Barristers-at-Law, and of London and Country Solicitors with Appointments held by them, compared with the Official Roll by permission of the Council of the Law Society, and corrected by means of direct correspondence. The Treatise upon the Stamp Act and the Law and Practice of Stamping Documents is revised to Date in accordance with the latest decisions and practice. The Treatise on Oaths, Solicitors' Charges, and Death Duties are revised by J. GODFREY HICKSON, Esq., Solicitor. Sixty-fourth Year of Publication. Waterlow & Sons (Limited).

Yale Law Journal. June, 1907.

CASES OF THE WEEK.

Court of Appeal.

COLNE VALLEY WATER CO. v. HALL. No. 1. 14th and 15th Nov.

WATER SUPPLY—REPAIR OF COMMUNICATION PIPE—PORTION OF PIPE LAID UNDER HIGHWAY—WATERWORKS CLAUSES ACT, 1847, ss. 54, 56—WATERWORKS CLAUSES ACT, 1863 (26 & 27 VICT. c. 93), ss. 16, 17.

The plaintiffs, a water company, repaired a service pipe connecting their main with the defendant's house, which had become defective in a part which passed under a highway, and they sued in the county court to recover the expenses they had thus incurred. The Divisional Court affirmed the county court judge, who had given judgment for the defendant.

The Court of Appeal held that, as the plaintiffs had wholly failed to shew that the defendant had any rights in the pipe which *prima facie* might put the onus of repairing it upon him, judgment had rightly been entered against the plaintiffs. They declined to decide the question whether a provision in the special Act to the effect that the company might require a consumer to repair a pipe which he had permitted to become out of repair, rendered such a consumer liable where the repairs could only be done by first opening up the highway.

Appeal by the plaintiffs from a decision of a Divisional Court, affirming a judgment of the county court judge sitting at Watford. The sole point for decision was whether the plaintiff company could recover from the defendant the cost of necessary repairs to a service pipe which conveyed water from the company's main into the house occupied by Mr. Hall, the defendant. These repairs were executed in a portion of the service pipe which was between the company's water main and the meter, the whole of such portion and the meter being situated outside the defendant's premises and beneath the soil of the public highway. Under the water company's private Act, the Colne Valley Water Act, 1873 (36 VICT. c. 133), they had power to require a consumer to supply the supply pipe between his premises and the main, and in case of his neglecting to do so they could do the work themselves and recover the expenses from the consumer. In this instance they did the work at a cost of £4, and they

sued for this sum in the county court. The county court judge held that as under the Waterworks Clauses Act, 1847, the company alone had the power to open a highway to inspect or repair a water pipe, the defendant could not be held liable for the costs of these repairs. This decision the Divisional Court upheld, holding that the obligation of keeping in repair a communication pipe laid under a highway was, in the absence of provisions to the contrary in the special Act, on the company as between them and the consumer, notwithstanding that the pipe might be the property of the latter, and they expressed the opinion that no difference was made in this respect by a provision in the special Act to the effect that the company might require the consumer to repair any pipe, &c., which he had permitted to become out of repair. The company appealed. During the argument the court pointed out that the plaintiffs failed to shew any rights of property in the defendant in the pipe in question, and intimated that they did not think the real question which the company desired to be decided was raised by this case. Without hearing counsel for the respondent.

LORD ALVERSTONE, C.J., said the appeal failed. The plaintiffs had not been able to shew that the pipe had been laid by the defendant, or had in any way become his property. On that ground he was content to agree with the result of the judgments in the courts below.

BUCKLEY, L.J., concurred, because the plaintiffs had failed to shew that the defendant had any rights in the pipe which *prima facie* might put the onus of repairing it upon him. He expressed no opinion upon the general question dealt with by the Divisional Court, as to who would be liable to repair a service pipe between the main and the boundary of the consumer's premises.

KENNEDY, L.J., concurred. Appeal dismissed.—COUNSEL, McCall, K.O., and Robertson; Horace Ivory, K.C., and Bartley Dennis. SOLICITORS, Murray, Hutchins, Stirling, & Murray; Fisher & Stephens.

[Reported by ERSKINE REID, Barrister-at-Law.]

HUNT v. MAW. No. 2. 13th Nov.

DEED—COVENANT TO PAY ANNUITY—CONSTRUCTION—EVIDENCE OF CONTINUANCE OF LIFE OF ANNUITANT—JURISDICTION—R. S. C., LIVA.

The Court has no jurisdiction on an originating summons, under order 54a, to declare what evidence ought to be furnished to a person, who has covenanted by deed to pay an annuity, is entitled to have produced as to the continuance of the life of the annuitant.

This was an appeal by the plaintiff against a decision of Kekewich, J. The plaintiff, by an indenture dated the 24th of November, 1880, covenanted to pay, during the joint lives of himself and the defendant, Emily Ann Hunt, to the trustees thereof, or to the defendant Emily Ann Hunt directly, an annuity of the amount therein mentioned. In 1907 he applied by originating summons under order 54a, to have it determined whether he was obliged to pay the annuity until proper evidence that Emily Ann Hunt was alive at the date of payment was produced to him, and as to what evidence he could require on this point. Mr. Justice Kekewich declared that the plaintiff was only entitled to a certificate from any person giving his or her address and occupation stating that he or she had seen the defendant Emily Ann Hunt alive on or after the date when payment became due, and was not entitled to verify such a certificate or know the address of the defendant Emily Ann Hunt, or have the defendant Emily Ann Hunt produced to prove she was alive. The plaintiff appealed.

THE COURT (COZENS-HARDY, M.R., and FLETCHER MOULTON and FARWELL, L.J.J.) being of opinion that there was no jurisdiction under order 54a to make any such declaration as was asked by the summons, directed the order of Kekewich, J., to be discharged.

COZENS-HARDY, M.R.—I am clearly of opinion that this order ought to be discharged. The case is a very simple one. The appellant during the joint lives of himself and the life annuitant has to pay the trustees under the deed a certain annuity. There is not a single word in the deed as to evidence of continuance of life, and there is no question of construction of the deed which can seriously be suggested. I am clearly of opinion that the case does not come within order 54a, and that the learned judge was wrong in making any declaration as to the evidence that ought to be furnished. The position of a covenantor in a deed of this kind is, that if he is sued it is at his own risk whether he will defend the action. If the action goes on it is for the plaintiff in such action to prove that the event has happened which renders the defendant liable to any claim, and it is impossible to prescribe what evidence can be given of that. Proof of the continuance of life may be effected in a hundred ways, and for the court to say as a matter of construction what evidence ought to be adduced as to continuance of life is, with the greatest possible respect to the learned judge, not authorized by order 54a. In my opinion the order was too favourable for the plaintiff. It ought to be discharged, and he must pay the costs of the appeal.

FLETCHER MOULTON and FARWELL, L.J.J., agreed.—COUNSEL, J. P. Hunt; Herbert Jacobs. SOLICITORS, Greaves & Underhill; Rowe & Maw.

[Reported by J. I. STIRLING, Barrister-at-Law.]

High Court—Chancery Division.

LLOYD v. PRICHARD. Parker, J. 9th and 12th Nov.

MARRIAGE SETTLEMENT—WIFE'S FUTURE PROPERTY—COVENANT TO SETTLE—"PROPERTY IN POSSESSION OR EXPECTANCY"—CONTINGENT REVERSIONARY INTEREST.

A marriage settlement contained a covenant by both husband and wife that all future real and personal estate and effects whatsoever, whether in possession or in

expectancy, of or to which the husband and wife or either of them in her right or by marital right should at any time or times during coverture become seized, possessed, or entitled, or of or to which the husband, or any person claiming through or under him, should by any means whatsoever become in her right or by marital right at any time or times after the marriage seized, possessed, or entitled, should be transferred to the trustees and settled upon the trusts of the settlement. During coverture the wife became entitled to a contingent reversionary interest in personal property which did not become vested until after the coverture had been determined by the death of the husband.

Held, that it was within the covenant.

PETITION. Mary Elizabeth Goring by her will dated the 4th of July, 1867, after certain pecuniary and specific bequests, gave the residue "upon trust from and immediately after the decease of my daughter Emily Mary Goring for the sole and separate use of such one or more of my daughters Margaret and Diana as shall be alive at the time of the decease of the said Emily Mary Goring or who being then dead shall have left issue then living and if more than one in equal shares as tenants in common, and their respective executors, administrators, and assigns, but in case at the time of the decease of the said Emily neither Margaret nor Diana nor any issue of either of them shall be living then . . . a gift over. By a codicil dated the 4th of February, 1869, the share of residue given to Margaret was cut down to a life interest, and the codicil then continued:

"And from and after the decease of my daughter Margaret I direct the said share of residue shall be held in trust for such of the children of the said Margaret as shall attain the age of twenty-one years and if more than one in equal shares . . . And in case there shall be no child of my said daughter Margaret who shall attain the age of twenty-one years, then subject to the life estate of my said daughter Margaret therein and to the maintenance and education of any infant children of my said daughter Margaret . . . I give and bequeath the same share of residue unto my daughter Diana, her executors, administrators, and assigns, for her and their own absolute use and benefit." Mary Elizabeth Goring died on the 20th of October, 1871. The action *Lloyd v. Pritchard* was commenced in 1871 for the administration by the court of the estate of Mary Elizabeth Goring, and the residuary estate was now represented by a fund in court. By a marriage settlement dated the 17th of August, 1870, made in contemplation of the marriage of Diana Goring with W. D. Lloyd, Diana Goring and W. D. Lloyd covenanted with the trustees of the settlement "that all other, if any, the present and future real and personal estate and effects whatsoever, whether in possession or in expectancy, of or to which the said W. D. Lloyd and Diana, or either of them in her right or by marital right . . . shall at any time or times during their intended coverture become seized, possessed, or entitled, or of or to which the said W. D. Lloyd or any person claiming through or under him shall by any means whatsoever become in her right or by marital right at any time or times after the solemnization of the said intended marriage seized, possessed, or entitled shall from time to time as soon as may be hereafter or after they, he, or she shall become possessed of or entitled to the same except only such estate and effects as shall be settled on her for her separate use [with certain other exceptions not material to be mentioned] be conveyed, assigned, transferred or paid unto the said trustees, and be settled and assured upon the trusts and subject to the powers and provisions of this settlement." The marriage was shortly after solemnized, and W. D. Lloyd subsequently died in 1883. Emily Mary Goring died in 1903, and Margaret Goring, who was married in 1873 to A. E. Fisher, who died in 1904, had never had any issue. In 1887 Diana was again married, and by a settlement made on such second marriage she assigned to the trustees of the settlement all and singular the contingent and other interests to which she was entitled under the will of Mary Elizabeth Goring. The petitioners, Diana and the trustees of the settlement made on the second marriage, now asked the court for a declaration that on the death of Margaret Fisher without having had a child who attained the age of twenty-one years the funds in court representing the reversionary interests of Diana would belong to the trustees of such settlement. The respondents, the trustees of the first settlement, contended that these funds were bound by the covenant in the first settlement to settle after-acquired property.

PARKER, J., in giving judgment, after stating the facts, continued: Now as to the first interest given by the will of the testatrix to Diana, this interest was given for her sole and separate use. The covenant in the marriage settlement is by both husband and wife, but from that is excepted such estate and interests as should be settled on Diana for her separate use, and on the authority of *Kane v. Kane* (16 Ch. D. 207), which was cited to me in the course of argument, I must hold that this contingent reversionary interest, being given expressly for her separate use, was settled for her separate use within the meaning of the covenant, and therefore is not bound in any way by the covenant in the settlement. The second interest—namely, that taken under the codicil of her mother—was an interest in her sister Margaret's share of the residuary estate given by the will, as altered by the codicil, to Margaret, which gives Margaret's share to Diana on the happening of certain contingencies. That interest is given for the absolute use of Diana and does not, therefore, fall within the exception. Different considerations, therefore, arise, and it is necessary to look at the terms of the covenant to see whether this contingent reversionary interest is meant to be included. As a matter of law, any lady can, on her marriage, by a covenant on the part of herself and her husband, properly framed, bind a reversionary interest to which her title accrues during coverture, notwithstanding that the reversionary interest does not fall in before death of the husband or wife. But here doubts have arisen whether a contingent reversionary interest of this sort would be bound by the words of the covenant. In construing covenants of this nature it is material to con-

sider who are the covenanting parties. If the husband alone covenants, this is *prima facie* reason for saying that the object is to exclude the husband from any right which he would otherwise take, and not to bind the wife's property falling in after the death of her husband. When both husband and wife join there is *prima facie* reason for saying that the object was not to protect the wife's property but to make a provision for the issue of the marriage. In this case both the husband and wife joined in the covenant, and it is also clear that the settlement is to be made by all necessary parties. The covenant says "shall be settled," therefore I do not think any inference can be drawn from the form of the covenant as to what property is or is not covenanted to be settled. *Prima facie* everything acquired by the wife during coverture should be settled. [His lordship then read the covenant, and continued:] It appears to me that the words are in the widest possible form. Great stress has been laid upon the words "real and personal estate and effects whatsoever whether in possession or in expectancy," as shewing that they only apply to vested reversionary interests, but I cannot read the words as creating that limitation. It appears to me that all reversionary interests whether contingent or otherwise are caught by the covenant. It is next said that I must limit the effect of the covenant so as to exclude all reversionary interests of the wife acquired during coverture and not falling into possession until after the determination of the coverture because of the form of the covenant itself, particularly that part which relates to property which the husband takes by marital right, but I do not think I can draw any distinction between property falling in during coverture and after its determination. Lastly, it is said that on the true construction of this covenant, the covenant was only intended to comprise that property which the husband might take in right of his wife, so as to prevent him asserting his right to her property during coverture, and therefore after coverture the covenant would have no effect on it, and in support of this is cited *Re Mitchell's Trusts* (9 Ch. D. 5) and *Twissend v. Harroby* (4 Jur. N. S. 353). In these cases, however, the decisions turn on the particular facts in each case, and neither amounts to a decision that if the wife survive the property is not bound. On the whole, therefore, there is nothing to prevent my giving effect to the words of the covenant, and I hold that, as to the first interest given by the will of the testatrix, the interest is not included in the covenant; and as to the second interest, the property is bound by the covenant.—COUNSEL, S. O. Buckmaster, K.C., and A. L. Ellis: Mark L. Bemer, K.C., and F. Russell; C. L. Coote. SOLICITORS, Rawls, Johnstone & Co.; H. E. Griffith.

[Reported by LEONARD T. FORD, Barrister-at-Law.]

BRISTOL UNITED BREWERIES (LIM.) v. ABBOT. Parker, J.
15th Nov.

COMPANY—MORTGAGE—DEBENTURE STOCK—TRUST DEED—SALE OF MORTGAGED PROPERTY—PURCHASE OF OTHER PROPERTY—"CHARGE CREATED BY THE COMPANY"—REGISTRATION—COMPANIES ACT, 1900 (63 & 64 VICT. c. 48), s. 14 (1).

A trust deed executed by a company in 1896 for the purpose of securing an issue of debenture stock contained provisions enabling the trustees, upon the application of the company, to sell any part of the mortgaged property, consisting of freeholds and leaseholds. The proceeds of sale were to become part of the mortgaged property, and were to be applied by the trustees, at the request of the company, in the purchase of other freehold or leasehold hereditaments, which were to be assured to the trustees and held by them upon the trusts and for the purposes of the trust deed as part of the mortgaged property. Certain of the mortgaged property was sold, and part of such proceeds of sale were applied in the purchase of freeholds, which were conveyed in 1907 to the trustees in accordance with the provisions of the trust deed, the company not being a party to the conveyance.

Held, that this conveyance was not a "charge created by the company" and therefore did not require registration under the Companies Act, 1900, s. 14 (1).

Obiter dicta in *Cornbrook Brewery Co. (Limited) v. Law Debenture Corporation (Limited)* (1903, 2 Ch. 527; and on appeal, 1904, 1 Ch. 103) adopted.

Motion. The Bristol United Breweries (Limited), was registered on the 24th of July, 1889, and the objects for which the company was formed were (*inter alia*) to acquire and carry on the business of brewers and maltsters and to purchase or otherwise acquire public-houses, land, buildings, &c., so far as deemed necessary for the company's business, and to sell the undertaking or the property of the company or any part thereof. In the year 1896 the company, in exercise of the power contained in its memorandum of association, issued debenture stock to the amount of £148,750, and secured the same by an indenture dated the 3rd of June, 1896, and made between the company of the one part and certain persons as trustees of the other part, whereby certain freehold and leasehold hereditaments specified in the schedules thereto were mortgaged by the company to trustees for the debenture-holders. Clause 18 of the deed provided: "At any time before the security hereby constituted becomes enforceable the trustees or trustee may upon the application and at the expense of the company do or concur in doing in respect of the specifically mortgaged premises all or any of the things following, that is to say, (1) may sell and convert all or any of the specifically mortgaged premises. . . . (5) May with moneys forming part of the specifically mortgaged premises purchase or otherwise acquire any freehold, leasehold, or copyhold property with or without goodwill, licence, and fixtures and trade-marks which may seem suitable for the purposes of the company. . . . (12) All net capital moneys arising from any dealings under this clause and all assets acquired pursuant to this clause shall become part of the specifically mortgaged premises, and shall be vested in the trustees or trustee accordingly." In pursuance of these powers and upon the application of the company the present trustees of the trust deed (who were the defendants) purchased out of moneys

in their hands arising from the sale of property forming part of the specifically mortgaged premises, certain premises in Bristol which were conveyed to the defendants by an indenture dated the 11th of November, 1907, and made between W. N. Edbrooke of the one part and the defendants of the other part, to hold upon the trusts of and for the purposes of the trust deed as part of the specifically mortgaged property. The question arose whether this conveyance should be registered under section 14 (1) of the Companies Act, 1900. Section 14 (1) of that Act provides: "Every mortgage or charge created by a company after the commencement of this Act and being . . . a mortgage or charge for the purpose of securing any issue of debentures . . . shall so far as any security on the company's property or undertaking is thereby conferred be void against the liquidator or any creditor of the company unless filed with the registrar for registration . . . within twenty-one days after the date of its creation." The company were not parties to the deed of the 11th of November, 1907, and no fresh money had been borrowed by the company since the commencement of the Act of 1900. The company brought an action against the present trustees of the trust deed claiming a declaration that the indenture of the 11th of November, 1907, does not require registration under the Companies Act, 1900, s. 14, and now moved for an injunction to restrain the defendants from proceeding with the registration. The plaintiffs relied upon the obiter dicta of Byrne, J., in *Cornbrook Brewery Co. (Limited) v. Law Debenture Corporation (Limited)* (1903, 2 Ch. 527, at p. 531), and of Vaughan Williams and Stirling, L.J.J., in the same case on appeal (1904, 1 Ch. 103, at pp. 109, 110).

PARKER, J.—In my opinion this particular indenture of the 11th of November, 1907, is not a mortgage or charge created by the company after the commencement of the Companies Act of 1900. It does not appear to me to create any charge or mortgage at all. [His lordship then stated the facts, and continued:] Under these circumstances this transaction was simply a sale and reinvestment under the existing power contained in the original trust deed, and is not a fresh mortgage. I am confirmed in this by the fact that the company was not a party to the deed, and all that has been done was done under the original trust deed, and no charge was created under this deed. I am therefore prepared, if all parties agree to treat this motion as the trial of the action, to declare that the indenture of the 11th of November, 1907, does not require registration under section 14 (1) of the Companies Act, 1900.—COUNSEL, S. O. Buckmaster, K.C., and Martelli; E. J. Elgood. SOLICITORS, Robbins, Billing, & Co., for Abbot, Pope, Brown, & Abbot, Bristol.

[Reported by LEONARD T. FORD, Barrister-at-Law.]

High Court—King's Bench Division.

MANSELL v. GRIFFIN. Div. Court. 2nd, 5th, and 9th Nov.

EDUCATION—PUBLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOL—ASSISTANT MISTRESS TAKEN LARGE CLASSE—PUNISHMENT BY, CONTRARY TO REGULATIONS OF EDUCATION COMMITTEE—ACTION FOR ASSAULT—DEFENCE OF ASSISTANT MISTRESS—PUNISHMENT NOT NECESSARILY A TREPASS.

An assistant mistress in a public elementary school taking a class of forty children, who punishes a child by striking her with a box-wood ruler, can justify in an action for assault brought on behalf of the child, if she proves that the punishment was moderate, was not dictated by a bad motive, was such as is usually administered in schools, and such as the parent might expect his child to receive, although the regulations of the education committee provide that corporal punishment may only be administered by the head teacher and certain named certificated teachers, of whom the assistant mistress was not one, and that such punishment may only be inflicted with a birch rod or cane.

Appeal from the decision of a county court judge granting a new trial of an action brought on behalf of a scholar in a public elementary school at Gloucester, a girl of ten years of age, against an assistant mistress in that school, for damages for assault. It appeared from the evidence at the trial that Miss Griffin, the defendant, with occasional assistance, taught a class of over forty children. The defendant punished the plaintiff for playing in school time by striking her with a flat box-wood ruler on the arm. There was a conflict of evidence as to whether the defendant struck the plaintiff with the edge or with flat part of the ruler. Before the blows were struck the plaintiff had suffered from cartilaginous tumours, and the swelling found upon her arm after the punishment were said to be due to one of these tumours. By section 35 of the Regulations of the City of Gloucester Education Committee for the Management of Public Elementary Schools: "Corporal punishment shall be inflicted only for grave offences, and shall be forthwith recorded in a register for that purpose. No corporal punishment shall be administered by any one but the head teacher, or by certain certificated teachers specially named by him from time to time in such register; and in mixed schools, corporal punishment on girls shall be inflicted by none but female teachers. All other teachers than those specially authorized are hereby absolutely prohibited from inflicting such punishment. All such punishments shall be inflicted with a birch rod or cane. Cuffs on the head, the pulling or boxing of the ears, blows with a book or slate, and other punishments causing bodily pain are strictly forbidden. Any infraction of this rule will be severely dealt with, to the extent, if thought necessary, of instant dismissal of the offending teacher." The county court judge left the following questions to the jury: (1) Was the punishment moderate or excessive?—Answer: Moderate. (2) Was the instrument a proper or improper one to use?—Answer: Improper, according to the rules, but not so hurtful as cane or birch. (3) Was the exceptional constitution of the

child made known to the defendant?—Answer: No. (4) Did the defendant exceed her authority under the new regulations?—Answer: Yes. (5) Were the new regulations brought to the knowledge of the defendant?—Answer: No. (6) What damages?—Answer: None. On these findings the county court judge entered judgment for the defendant. On an application for a new trial on the grounds (1) that the verdict was against the weight of evidence, and (2) that the plaintiff had not had a fair trial owing to undue influence brought to bear upon the jury, and owing to bias on the part of the jury, the county court judge granted a new trial. It was alleged by the defendant that the county court judge said that he would not have granted a new trial on either of the two grounds alone, but that he would do so as there was something to be said on both grounds. The defendant appealed.

PHILLIMORE, J., in the course of a lengthy and considered judgment said: I am of opinion that there was no evidence of bias upon which the county court judge could act in granting a new trial, but I think he ought to have a further opportunity of considering whether, on the ground that the verdict is against the weight of evidence, which is a ground he alone can consider, he will or will not grant a new trial. As the county court judge stated that he granted a new trial partly upon both grounds, I think he ought to have an opportunity of saying whether there ought to be a new trial on the answer given by the jury to the first question left to them. In the course of the argument it occurred to us that there was another point to be considered which might make the verdict of the jury insensate and might make it necessary that there should be a new trial—namely, that having regard to the answers to the second and fourth questions put to the jury, there must have been a trespass committed by the defendant, and accordingly that some damages, and, if so, probably substantial damages, were due to the plaintiff; but it does not necessarily follow that because as a matter of internal regulation the defendant was prohibited from administering corporal punishment herself, when it becomes a question of an action for assault, or of an indictment for assault, she is without defence. I think the matter goes deeper and rests upon some general principle. If there were regulations or a known custom confining the administration of corporal punishment to the head of the school, and those regulations or that custom are known to the parents, there is room for a strong argument to shew that the parent has only delegated that authority which the rules of the school give, and that he has no more right to expect that a child will be struck by an assistant teacher than by the caretaker of the school. But here there is no reason to suppose that these regulations were brought to the knowledge of the parents, or that the child was sent to this school on the faith of these regulations. In our opinion the ordinary authority extends, not to the head teacher only, but to the responsible teachers who have charge of a class, and that as between the parent of the child and the teacher it is enough for the teacher to say: "The punishment I have administered is moderate, it was not dictated by a bad motive, it is such as is usually administered in schools, and it is such as the parent might expect a child to receive." We think, therefore, that in such a case as the present an assistant mistress in charge of a class of some forty children, with occasional assistance from a subordinate, may justify in an action what she has done. If, therefore, the findings of the jury to questions (1) and (2) are correct, the jury were right in saying that there were no damages and in giving a verdict for the defendant.—COUNSEL, *H. M. Surges; H. Lynn*. SOLICITORS, *C. T. Courtney Lewis, for Langley-Smith & Son, Gloucester; Baker & Nairne*.

[Reported by G. G. MORAN, Barrister-at-Law.]

Societies.

United Law Society.

At a meeting of this society, in the Inner Temple Lecture Room, on the evening of the 11th inst., Mr. N. Tebbutt in the chair, the subject for debate was: "That the case of *Re Baker and Selmon's Contract* (L. R. 1 Ch. 238) was wrongly decided." Mr. Henry T. Thomson opened in the affirmative, Mr. James Ball in the negative. The debate was continued by Messrs. P. Aylen, N. Tebbutt, A. Michelson, and C. D. Chorlton. The motion was lost by one vote.

Solicitors' Benevolent Association.

The usual monthly meeting of the board of directors of this association was held at the Law Society's Hall, Chancery-lane, on the 13th inst., Mr. J. Roger B. Gregory in the chair, the other directors present being Sir George Lewis, Bart., Sir John Hollams, and Messrs. W. C. Blandy (Reading), Hamilton Fulton (Salisbury), Charles Goddard, H. E. Gribble, J. F. N. Lawrence, C. G. May, R. Pennington, J. P., R. S. Taylor, and J. T. Scott (secretary). A sum of £920 was distributed in grants of relief, sixteen new members were admitted to the association, and other general business was transacted.

The Solicitors' Managing Clerks' Association.

This association will hold its fourteenth annual dinner in the Victoria Hall, Hotel Cecil, Strand, on Friday, the 13th of December, at 6.45 o'clock. Mr. Frederick Spooner (Messrs. Parker, Garrett, Holman, & Howden), the president of the association, will preside. The tickets, which are 6s. each, can be obtained from any of the undersigned, forming the dinner committee, or any members of the council, or at the office of the association, No. 12, New-court, Lincoln's-inn: Messrs. Frederick Spooner (president), Messrs. Parker, Garrett, Holman, & Howden; Francis Kalb (hon. general

secretary), Messrs. Wontner & Sons; A. C. Crane (hon. treasurer), Messrs. Paines, Blyth, & Huxtable; Henry Hall (hon. librarian), Messrs. Waterhouse & Co.; J. Verrall (hon. secretary of lectures, &c.), Messrs. Simpson, Cullingford, & Co.; W. J. Smart (vice-president), Messrs. Gush, Phillips, & Co.; A. Turner (vice-president), Messrs. Coode, Kingdon, & Cotton; and G. B. Elphick (council member), Messrs. Mackrell, Maton, Godlee, & Quincey.

Law Students' Journal.

The Law Society.

INTERMEDIATE EXAMINATION.

The following candidates (whose names are in alphabetical order) were successful at the Intermediate Examination held on the 30th and 31st of October, 1907:

FIRST CLASS.

Brady, Frank
Briercliffe, Louis Bernard
Bryant, Arthur Gilbert
Bunting, Charles Gilbert
Carnley, William Birch, B.A. (Camb.)
Davies, William
Forster, Percival Armorer
Green, Digby
Hall, Lancelot Edey
Haynes, Sydney Harold
Kitchen, John Henry
Lake, Alfred Henry
Linay, William Carter
Mahaffy, John George
Matley, Luke
Richards, Ernest James
Smith, Gerald Howard, B.A. (Camb.)
Stephenson, Richard Balm
Stevenson, Edmund
Thairwall, William
Thomas, David
Vade-Walpole, Horatio Spencer, B.A. (Oxon.)
Williams, William James

PASSED.

Abraham, Montague George
Allen, Richard Lancelot Baugh
Arnott, Edward Whiston, B.A. (Camb.)
Atkinson, George
Back, Charles Walter
Baker, Francis Horace
Barrow, Alfred James, B.A. (Camb.)
Bartlett, Reuben Charles
Baxter, James Dawson
Bedford, Cecil
Beech, Francis William
Booth, Harold Gordon
Bradley, Michah Gedling, B.A. (Camb.)
Brockman, Randolph Charles Zouch Drake
Broom, John William Frank
Burdon, John Hinton
Burt, Walter George
Camm, Louis John Ashworth
Card, John Victor
Cassavetti, Demetrius John, M.A. (Oxon.)
Chappell, Clyde
Clegg, Herbert
Constant, Benjamin
Cooke, Cyril John Shapleigh
Cooke, Hubert Temple
Cooke, Henry Nelson
Corrie, Owen Cecil Kirkpatrick, B.A. (Camb.)
Cottrell, George Swinfen
Crocker, Archibald Thomas
Croston, Richard Arthur
Curran, George Patrick
Dann, Alfred Charles
Davies, Arthur King
Davies, Edward Thomas
de Kusel, Reginald
Downson, Sydney Houghton, B.A. (Oxon.)
Driver, John Haworth
Duncan, George Douglass
Eade, Christopher Aylmer
Ede, Edward Murray Charles
Edmondson, William
Evans, Guy Edward
FitzGerald, Edward John
Gillett, Norman Cornelius
Gornall, Arnold Kenneth
Graburn, Godfrey Newall, B.A. (Camb.)
Graham, William Johnston
Gray, Donald Christopher, B.A. (Oxon.)
Hall, John Hedley
Hartley, Rufus
Hawkes, Harry
Hayhurst, William Francis Rogerson
Higgs, Albert Wilfrid
Holland, Thomas Welsby
Holmes, Eric Richard Hanby
Homer, Lionel Victor Cyril, B.A. (Camb.)
Howe, Thomas Edward Barham
Hughes, George Edward
Hyde, John Beau
Johns, Herbert David
Jones, Arthur Russell
Jones, Gershom Stewart
Jones, Goronwy Owen, B.A. (Oxon.)
Keeble, George Warriner
Knowles, Frank
Knowles, Frank Henry
Lane, Hector Alan
Levi, Harry
Lewis, Edward Thomas
Llewellyn, Dillwyn
Luck, Eric William Harry
Mansfield, Herbert Charles
Mawdsley, Ernest William
Mellows, Alfred John
Metcalfe, Neville Arthur
Moger, William
Morten, Galbraith
Naylor, Frank
Oerton, Gerald Baildon
Pawson, Robert Henry
Porter, Thomas Brandam
Preston, Arthur Montagu
Pridham, Henry
Rhodes, George Preston, B.A. (Oxon.)
Richards, Richard
Rix, Shelly William, M.A. (Dub.)
Romer, Harold George
Roscoe, Joseph Edward
Saint, Thomas Walter
Sargent, Frank Leyden
Sayer, Geoffrey Latimer
Sharp, Clifford Dyer
Shaw, Hugh Wyberg
Shaw, Joseph Morris
Shellbear, Charles Dakin
Simpson, Charles Christopher
Skinner, Edward Howard
Smith, Herbert Thompson
Spice, James Alfred Melross
Stone, Frederick Arthur
Sugden, Thomas Edward
Symonds, Daniel George
Tabor, Harry Ernest
Taylor, Ernest Henry
Taylor, George Harry
Taylor, Thomas Ralph
Thomson, John Leslie
Udall, Joseph Bertram, B.A. (Oxon.)
Vanderpump, Frank Louis
Vevers, Seymour
Wade, Edwin George Bathurst
Ward, Bernard Joseph
Ward, Christopher Eric Ley
Webb, Charles
Webber, Alexander Ernest

Messrs.
Water-
Simpson,
Phillips,
Cotton;
Odlie, &

Webster, Arthur Anderton
Westrope, Harold Ashwell
White, Henry Hewlett Eales
White, Thomas Humble
Williams, George
Williams, Hugh Hamerton
Williams, Roger Fritz Dähne

No. of candidates ... 195 Passed ... 151

*These candidates have to satisfy the Examiners in Accounts and Book-keeping before receiving a certificate.

CANDIDATES FOR EXAMINATION IN ACCOUNTS AND BOOK-KEEPING ONLY.

Anderson, John Sloane
Ankland, Dudley, LL.M. (Liverpool)
Bolter, Charles Albert
Bowen, Alfred John Hamilton, B.A. (Oxon.)
Bowen, Thomas Whitley
Bruce, Thomas Dundas Hope, B.A. (Oxon.)
Buckeridge, George Gordon
Davies, Llewellyn Thomas
Dinn, Hugh Kennett, B.A., LL.B. (Camb.)
Dommett, Joseph Albert
Edwards, Arthur Gordon
Ethell, John Carter, B.A., LL.B. (Dub.)
Evans, Evan Howells
Firth, Thomas Hubert, B.A., LL.B. (Camb.)
Flinn, Oswald Sterndale, B.A., LL.B. (Camb.)
Forsyth, Samuel Sanford, B.A. (Oxon.)
Goodwin, Frederick Claude
Green, Joseph George Airey
Hardy, Harold John
Hine, Noel Austin Wade
Huntley, Walter
Hutchence, William Gordon, B.A., LL.B. (Camb.)
Isaac, Frank Stanley
James, Percival Samuel
Jennings, George Wells
Johnson, Edward William Peach, B.A. (Camb.)
Jones, Eric Arthur Goddard, B.A. (Oxon.)
Knowles, Edwin Cumming
Kyrke, Gerald Vennables, B.A. (Oxon.)
Llewellyn, Edward Alfred Robert
Maughan, George Hyslop
May, Valentine Delabere, B.A. (Camb.)
Morgan, Isaac David
Moylan-Jones, Reginald
Withers

Munro, Hector Cameron
Musgrave, Philip Stanley
Nancarrow, John Vivian, LL.B. (Camb.)
Niedermayer, Rudolph Alexander
Orme, Sidney Wilkinson
Owen, Ivor Stanley
Parker, Reginald Milward Jason
Perkins, Hubert Barry, B.A. (Oxon.)
Phillips, William Mallam, B.A., LL.B. (Camb.)
Prior, Basil Cyprian, B.A., LL.B. (Camb.)
Rawle, Thomas Frederick, B.A. (Oxon.)
Robson, William Newby, B.A., LL.B. (Camb.); LL.B. (Manchester); LL.B. (Leeds)
Rogers, Alfred Denys Strickland, LL.B. (Camb.)
Rutland, Stanley Betts
Shield, Clement Ridley
Smith, Horace Milner Alderson, LL.M. (Liverpool)
Stutfield, John Gregory, B.A., LL.B. (Camb.)
Sullivan, Thomas Light
Tallents, Hugh, B.A. (Oxon.)
Thompson, Harold Sigston, B.A., LL.B. (Camb.)
Thompson, Richard Henry Vaughan, B.A. (Oxon.)
Tomlinson, Charles Henry
Town, Charles Aubrey, B.A., LL.B. (Camb.)
Trumper, Francis Algernon
Veal, Richard Minshull Spencer
Verrall, Frederick Herbert, B.A., LL.B. (Camb.)
Wallis, George Lloyd
Welch, John, B.A., LL.B. (Camb.)
Wheatcroft, Cyril John, B.A. (Oxon.)
Whelpton, John Snow
Woods, Charles Stanley
Worthington, Walter Dalton
Young, Walter Roy Hartridge, B.A., LL.B. (Camb.)

No. of candidates ... 72 Passed ... 67

By order of the Council,

E. W. WILLIAMSON, Secretary.

Law Society's Hall, Chancery-lane, Nov. 15th, 1907.

FINAL EXAMINATION.

The following candidates (whose names are in alphabetical order) were successful at the Final Examination held on the 28th and 29th of October, 1907:

Ashford, Harold Brooke
Bark, Geoffrey Mentor, B.A., LL.B. (Camb.)
Beimstein, George Sydney
Berry, Edwin Dennis
Binns, Clement Stanley
Bray, Cecil Francis
Bretherton, Percival James
Brett, Alfred, B.A. (Oxon.)
Bridges, Richard Conway
Brothers, Malam, B.A., LL.B. (Camb.)
Browne, Daniel Edward Stephens
Carter, John Frederick Heathcote
Carter, Robert Charles Heathcote
Chapple, Aubrey Torrington
Cleaver, Harry Mason
Clemmison, Henry Millican
Cobbold, Francis Alfred Worship, B.A., LL.B. (Camb.)
Collinson, Harry

Cook, Francis Lawson, M.A. (Oxon), LL.B. (Liverpool)
Cripwell, Edwin
Crust, Harold
Dalgado, Edward, M.A. (Oxon.)
Darbishire, Harold Dukinfield, B.A., LL.B. (Camb.)
Darby, George Harry
Dennys, Henry Lardner
Dunks, Harry
Dyke, John Charles Meredith
Eddowes, William Rhodes
Ellis, Bernard Henry
Elsmore, William George
Fairhurst, Thomas
Fisher, Harold
Forsshaw, William Barlow
Freer, Maurice Charles Lane
Garton, Peter
Gibbon, Douglas Stuart, B.A. (Oxon.)

Grundy, Charles Victor
Guillaume, John Frederick
Hacking, Alfred
Hampshire, Frank Cyril
Hancock, George Gardfield
Harding, Cecil Sutton Montis
Hartwright, Gordon Gillott
Heap, Norman
Hemlingway, Edward Cecil
Henley, Herbert George
Hills, Archibald Hallgate
Hincks, Maurice Thorp
Hobson, James Falschaw, B.A. (Oxon.)
Hodge, Henry
Holdnall, Charles Ernest
Hollins, Esmond Maudsley, B.A., LL.B. (Camb.)
Jackson, Andrew Eric, B.A., LL.B. (Camb.)
Jackson, George Ogilvy, B.A. (Oxon.)
Jacob, Hugh Francis, B.A. (Camb.)
Jenkins, George Kirkhouse
Johnston, Robert Vizer Lukin
Jones, James
Lamonby, Lawrence
Lavender, Frank Ashley
Lefroy, George Frederick, B.A. (Oxon.)
Leonard, Fred
Loring, Harry Thomas
Lyles, William
Macilvenna, John
Mackenzie, George Archibald
Macnab, Stuart, LL.B. (Victoria)
Mers, Ernest Leister, B.A., LL.B. (Camb.)
Mills, Jimmy
Moaley, Isaac Henry, B.A., LL.B. (Camb.)

No. of candidates ... 205 Passed ... 106

By order of the Council,

E. W. WILLIAMSON, Secretary.

Law Society's Hall, Chancery-lane, 15th November, 1907.

Calls to the Bar.

The following gentlemen were called to the bar on Monday:

INNER TEMPLE.—S. S. Ogilvie, B.A. Oxford, holder of a certificate of honour awarded Michaelmas Term, 1907; P. Duncan, B.A. Oxford, C. H. Thorpe, B.A. Camb., F. A. Obeyesekere, B.A. Camb., O. Locker-Lampson, B.A. Camb., H. H. Barne, B.A. Oxford, J. E. Balmer, F. W. Perceval, B.A. Oxford, H. M. Farrer, B.A. Oxford, P. P. Pope, B.A. Oxford, J. D. Young, B.A. Camb., T. G. Brookelbank, B.A. Oxford, A. Moon, B.A. Oxford, W. L. F. Davies, B.A. Lond., N. C. M. MacMahon, B.A. Oxford, C. J. Gardner, B.A. Oxford, H. Cloudsley, B.A., LL.B. Camb., H. W. Malkin, B.A. Camb., A. B. Ashby, B.A. Oxford, J. E. Eldon Banks, Oxford, S. M. Edwards, W. A. F. Critchley, M. W. Ashby, M.A. Camb., J. R. Kenyon, B.A. Oxford, T. G. Dehu, B.A. Oxford, C. B. Schröndt van Soelen, B.A. Camb., R. D. Craig, B.A. Camb., N. H. P. Whitley, B.A. Camb., W. H. Wellsman, F. à C. Bergne, B.A. Oxford, C. D. G. Drayton, B.A. Camb., C. Phillipson, M.A. Manch., Dewen Sureshar Dass, T. H. Bedford, and B. Brandreth, London.

MIDDLE TEMPLE.—F. E. Chrestien, B.A. Oxford, certificate of honour, Council of Legal Education, Michaelmas Term, 1907; E. Evans; A. H. S. Cripps, B.A. Oxford; S. M. Bruce, B.A. Camb.; H. R. Pollock, B.A. Camb.; E. F. H. Cox; E. G. Davies; H. D. Roome, B.A. Oxon., special prize criminal law, Easter, 1907, Campbell Foster prize, 1907; R. E. Laurie; D. A. L. Ulcoq; C. Cozens-Smith, M.A. Oxon.; G. F. Kingham; C. A. Oliver, LL.B., Victoria Univ., Manchester; Ganeshilal Varma Gaurishankar, B.A., Allahabad Univ.; and R. A. Baxter.

LINCOLN'S INN.—A. J. Claxton (certificate of honour C.L.E., Michas, 1906); J. R. Newton, Trinity Coll., Camb.; C. Bridgewater, M.A. Oxford; D. S. Fleming, B.A., Oxford; T. F. Tremearne, Gonville and Caius Coll., Camb.; L. W. Greenhalgh, Victoria Univ., Manch.; L. G. Diddin; H. Asquith, Balliol Coll., Oxford; G. R. B. White, B.A. Camb.; V. R. Pochin, M.A. Camb.; T. A. Pace, London Univ.; G. W. Profeit, B.Sc. Edin.; and H. P. Glover.

GRAY'S INN.—C. F. Baker, W. F. H. Jeffes, Inayat Ullah Mahli, R. Dunstan, M.R.C.S. (Eng.), L.R.C.P. (Lond.), J. L. Walker, B.A. Oxford, Rhodes scholar, E. R. Gurney, LL.B. Lond., honours common law and equity, Panna Lal, B.A., LL.B. Camb., F. P. E. L. Potter, B.A. Royal Univ., Ireland, J. C. P. Borrajo, Ram Rattan, H. M. Green, C. H. Y. Slader, and L. C. G. C. De Wiart, LL.D. Univ. of Brussels.

Law Students' Societies.

LAW STUDENTS' DEBATING SOCIETY.—Nov. 12.—Chairman, Mr. R. P. Croom-Johnson.—The subject for debate was: "That the case of *Robson v. Diggar* (1907, 1 K. B. 690) was rightly decided." Mr. Bianco White opened in the affirmative, Mr. H. Myers seconded in the affirmative; Mr.

G. C. Blagden opened in the negative, Mr. Harnett seconded in the negative. The following members also spoke: Messrs. Blackwell, Henderson, Thomson, Pettitt, Kraus, Hart, Handley, Hands, Barrett, Pleadwell. The motion was carried by nine votes.

Legal News.

Appointments.

Mr. W. F. HAMILTON, K.C., Mr. INGPEN, K.C., and Mr. L. E. GLYN, K.C., have been elected Benchers of the Honourable Society of the Middle Temple, in succession to the late Mr. Murphy, K.C., the late Mr. Hammond-Chambers, K.C., and the late Lord Brampton.

Mr. HERBERT G. RASTALL, solicitor, of Salisbury House, London Wall, E.C., has been appointed a Commissioner for Oaths. Mr. Rastall was admitted in August, 1899.

Changes in Partnerships.

Dissolutions.

HERBERT GEORGE UNDERWOOD ELLIOTT and JAMES SHAWCROSS, solicitors (Carrane, Elliott, & Shawcross), Wellington and Newport. Nov. 1. [Gazette, Nov. 15.]

General.

On the 19th inst. an appeal by Mr. G. J. Vanderpump from an order made by the Divisional Court ordering the appellant's name to be struck off the rolls came before the Court of Appeal, but the court dismissed the appeal.

It is announced that Mr. Edward Orford Smith, town clerk of Birmingham, has resigned his position owing to ill-health. Mr. Smith, who is sixty-six years of age, has been absent from Birmingham for some time owing to nervous breakdown. He has held the position for twenty-six years.

The forty-eighth meeting of the Bankruptcy Law Amendment Committee was held on the 13th inst. at the Royal Courts of Justice, Mr. Muir Mackenzie (the chairman) presiding. The committee continued the consideration of questions arising on the memorandum of the chairman dealing with the evidence which has been given.

News has been received in Nottingham, says the *Evening Standard*, of the death of Judge James Humphrey, at Topeka, Kansas. He was born at Plessey Vale, near Mansfield, in 1833, and started life as a mill-hand. When he was twenty-one years old he emigrated to the United States, was admitted to the bar, and in 1867 was appointed a judge of the United States District Court.

Eight judges have been nominated by the Lord Chief Justice to form the Court of Criminal Appeal. Comparisons are odious, says the *Daily Telegraph*, but it may fairly be said that the profession would have selected for this purpose some, at any rate, of the seven judges upon whom the lot has not fallen. As at the early sittings of the court its policy must be definitely shaped, it is to be hoped that the three or five judges who hear the first appeals will not be chosen in a haphazard fashion.

A case just decided in the Iowa Supreme Court further complicates, says the *Evening Standard*, one of the most serious problems of the feminine life. A Mrs. McNaughton sued a railway company for damages. Her case was that she had slipped down the stairs of the railway station while trying to get her purse. As the purse was kept in her stocking, the court was called on to decide whether it was in its proper place. The verdict they gave was that stockings were not intended for this purpose.

The use of wigs by judges and barristers is, says a correspondent of the *Morning Post*, not very ancient. "It was introduced, I imagine, towards the end of the seventeenth or at the beginning of the eighteenth century, when it had become the fashion at court. Bishops continued to use wigs longer than their clergy, but they have discarded them, to their great advantage, for many years now. The ceremonial vesture at the bar always used to be the guided by that of the Church; why not in this matter as in others?"

In the House of Lords, as well as in the Court of Appeal, says a writer in the *Globe*, the hearing of appeals has been uncommonly expeditious of late. Nearly all the appeals that stood for hearing when the judicial sittings of the House of Lords were resumed have now been disposed of. A fresh list of fifteen cases has been issued, England supplying twelve, Scotland two, and Ireland one. Among the English appeals are *Speyer Bros. v. Commissioners of Inland Revenue*, *Cleverley and Others (Paupers) v. Gas Light and Coke Co.*, and *Local Government Board v. Street*.

One of the boldest acts of terrorism of the Polish revolutionary campaign, says the Warsaw correspondent of the *Daily Mail*, took place on Tuesday night at Lublin, where the Assize Court was for a time at the mercy of the Socialists. They burst into the archives, took the officials who were on guard completely by surprise, bound and imprisoned them, and threatened them with death if they spoke a word. Then they destroyed all the legal documents, especially those containing any proof of the complicity of the revolutionaries. The hapless officials were not rescued from their bonds till the morning.

A telegram from Vienna says that two men named Wimmer and Trinkl, sentenced in the Vienna Criminal Court to three years' hard labour, to be followed by detention in a penal colony, made a desperate attempt to revenge themselves on the judge and public prosecutor. They made a rush for the bench, and had climbed the balustrade in front before Trinkl was seized by a policeman and pulled down. Wimmer snatched a policeman's sword and struck at the public prosecutor. Four policemen with drawn swords attacked Wimmer and disarmed him. Both prisoners were then carried shouting from the court.

In a letter addressed to the *Times* on Christmas Boxes and the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1906, Sir Edward Fry says:—"There is, in my opinion, no doubt that most Christmas boxes given by tradesmen to servants are given as inducements to show favour to the tradesman. So plainly is this thought to be the case, that those tradesmen who hesitate to make these presents are wont to declare that unless they break the law they will lose their custom; and thus they complain of the painful dilemma between honesty and gain. It is equally clear to me that a gift made as an inducement to a servant to show favour to a person dealing with his master, and made without that master's assent, is corruptly given; for the very essence of the servant's duty is to serve his master without favour or disfavour to any third person, and, therefore, the gift tends to lead the servant astray, and so to corrupt him. It follows that the only safe course for those who wish to make Christmas gifts to servants is to do so with the express consent of the master, if such consent can be obtained; and, if it be not obtained, then to abstain from making the gift."

Mr. Justice Jelf writes to the *Times* on the Criminal Appeal Act, 1907, as follows:—"Is it realized by the public that by the 18th of April next there must be provided at the expense of the country under the Criminal Appeal Act, 1907, a fresh army of skilled official shorthand writers? The words are clear and the inference obvious. By section 16, sub-section 1, 'Shorthand notes shall be taken of the proceedings at the trial of any person on indictment who, if convicted, is entitled or may be authorized to appeal'—that is to say, at every criminal trial for any offence, however grave or however trivial, at assizes, county quarter sessions, and borough quarter sessions. By sub-section 3, 'The costs . . . shall be defrayed . . . out of moneys provided by Parliament,' and 'Rules of Court may make such provision as is necessary for securing the accuracy of the notes to be taken.' Accuracy is, of course, essential, because the shorthand note will be the official record, and may conflict with the judge's note. Now, is it certain that the supply of competent shorthand writers will be equal to the demand? The assizes in one county are mostly held simultaneously with other assizes held in other counties, and quarter sessions often clash with other courts. Moreover, it is often necessary at assizes, and sometimes at quarter sessions, to have two or more criminal courts sitting in the same building at one and the same time. Meanwhile, the shorthand writers already employed in civil actions, in arbitrations, in Parliament, and elsewhere, are not likely to desert their present work unless they are very highly paid. These and other considerations seem to justify the doubt implied in the above question."

Mr. Ralph Thomas, of 30, Narbonne-avenue, S.W., writes to us as follows with reference to the late Mr. Henry Moore, solicitor, the author of several well-known law books:—"Probably few readers will recognize in this name one whose books have been useful to them for many years. In 1832 a little book was published entitled *Instructions for Preparing Abstracts of Title*. Though a law-book, it was anonymous, but I found out the name and address of the author and corresponded with him in 1873. When the book was published he was a young man, and he told me he felt too diffident and modest to put his name, until a second edition was called for in 1849. There are few books on general subjects that go to a second edition, and still fewer technical law-books. But Moore's books were most successful; they all had the good fortune not only to have several editions, which he superintended, but they have all had further editions since his death. The fourth edition of his *Instructions* was issued in 1886, under the auspices of two barristers. In 1833 Mr. Moore was admitted in all the courts, and obtained his commissions for taking affidavits in them. Shortly afterwards, he was appointed a master extraordinary in Chancery, an office long since abolished; and in 1837 a commissioner for taking acknowledgments by married women. He was greatly respected. He was an early riser and hard worker, but though he could write successful books, he was not endowed with the art of providing for his old age or his daughter. Long before his death he had exhausted all his resources, sold his copyrights to the publishers (Messrs. Clowes), and even asked them to give him a gratuity, to which he admitted he was not entitled, which they kindly did. Eventually he died of tumour on the brain, on the 12th of November, 1886, in such distressed circumstances that not even a headstone marks the place of his burial at Wimbome, where he had practised for forty-five years. His daughter, who is now an elderly lady, was left without the knowledge of earning her living (in accordance with the notions of those days), and has lately had the misfortune to break her leg, and is partially a cripple. An application is intended to be made to the Solicitors' Benevolent Association on her behalf. I am a life member of the association; but, unfortunately, though I corresponded with Mr. Moore, I never knew him personally. I should, therefore, be obliged if any members who did know him would kindly send me their names. The following, I believe, is a complete list of Mr. Moore's publications: *Abstracts of Title*, &c. (already mentioned), 1832, second edition 1849, third 1873, fourth by R. Merivale and N. Pearson 1886; *The Country Attorney's Pocket Remembrancer*, 1838, second edition 1850; *The Solicitor's Book*

of Practical Forms, 1852; The Lawyer's Companion (editions for) 1860, 1861, and 1862; Practical Forms of Agreements, 1884, second edition by T. L. Mears 1887, third (*ibid.*) 1891, fourth by H. Percival 1896, fifth (*ibid.*) 1900, sixth by E. Manson 1905; Practical Instructions to Young Solicitors, 1885, second edition 1888; A Handbook of Practical Forms, edited by T. L. Mears, 1886, second edition 1890, third by H. Percival 1895, fourth by E. Manson 1905.

Court Papers.

Supreme Court of Judicature.

ROTA OF REGISTRARS IN ATTENDANCE ON					
Date.	EMERGENCY ROTA.	APPRAL COURT No. 2.	Mr. Justice KNEEWICH.	Mr. Justice JOYCE.	
Monday, Nov. 25	Mr. Farmer	Mr. Theod	Mr. Church	Mr. King	
Tuesday 26	King	Leach	Grswell	Farmer	
Wednesday 27	Beal	Theod	Church	King	
Thursday 28	Carrington	Leach	Grswell	Farmer	
Friday 29	Grswell	Theod	Church	King	
Saturday 30	Church	Leach	Grswell	Farmer	
Date	Mr. Justice SWINFER EADY.	Mr. Justice WARRINGTON.	Mr. Justice NIVILLE.	Mr. Justice PARKER.	
Monday, Nov. 25	Mr. Bloxam	Mr. Carrington	Mr. Borrer	Mr. Goldschmidt	
Tuesday 26	Synges	Beal	Goldschmidt	Borrer	
Wednesday 27	Bloxam	Carrington	Borrer	Synges	
Thursday 28	Synges	Beal	Goldschmidt	Bloxam	
Friday 29	Bloxam	Carrington	Borrer	Leach	
Saturday 30	Synges	Beal	Goldschmidt	Theod	

The Property Mart.

Result of Sale.

REVERSIONS, LIFE POLICIES, SHARES, &c.

Messrs. H. E. FOSTER & CHANFIELD held their usual Fortnightly Sale (No. 647) of the above-named interests at the Mart, Tokenhouse-yard, E.C., on Thursday last, when the following lots were sold at the prices named:

LIFE INTEREST IN £347 per annum, &c....	000	000	000	000	Sold	\$4,380	
ABSOLUTE REVERSION TO £9,940	000	000	000	000	Sold	1,740	
POLICIES:							
For £5,000	000	000	000	000	000	£2	1,600
For £5,000	000	000	000	000	000	000	2,355
For £1,000	000	000	000	000	000	000	180
For £5,000	000	000	000	000	000	000	1,325
For £4,000; fully paid	000	000	000	000	000	000	1,880
For £5,000	000	000	000	000	000	000	650
SHARES in the Pearl Life Assurance Co., Ltd.							Sold at £120 per Share.

Winding-up Notices.

London Gazette—FRIDAY, NOV. 15.

JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.

LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

MANFIELD CONSERVATIVE CLUB CO., LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Dec 12, to send in their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Timothy Shepherd, 12, Berry st., Brierfield, or Burnley, liquidator.

CELLULOSE CO., LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Dec 16, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Francis Drake Leslie, 74, Coleman st., Baker & Co., Cannon st., solors for liquidators.

CIVIC PUBLISHING CO., LIMITED—Petn for winding up, presented Nov 11, directed to be heard Nov 26. Lewis & Loe, Ely pl., Holborn, solors for petners. Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Nov 26.

COMMERCIAL MOTORS, LIMITED—Petn for winding up, presented Nov 7, directed to be heard Nov 26. Slaughter & May, Austin Friars, solors for petner. Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Nov 25.

DION DUNLOP MOTOR CO., LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Dec 10, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Harry Tempest Vane, 14, New Burlington st. Brown & Co., Pancras in, solors for liquidator.

E. FARROW & CO., LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION)—Creditors are required, on or before Dec 14, to send their names and addresses, with particulars of their debts or claims, to William Wyle Macalister, 80, Coleman st., liquidator.

FREE STATE DIAMOND SYNDICATE, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Dec 15, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Harry Leonard Astorian, 58, Coleman st. Leadam & Co., Austin Friars, solors for liquidator.

JOHNSTONS, BENJAMIN & CO., LIMITED—Petn for winding up, presented Nov 14, directed to be heard Nov 26. Montagu & Co, 5 and 6, Bucklersbury, solors. Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Nov 25.

JOHNATH BROOKS & CO., LIMITED—Petn for winding up, presented Nov 9, directed to be heard before the Court, Quay st., Manchester, at 10 on Dec 2. Bingham & Co., Manchester, solors for petners. Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than 3 o'clock in the afternoon of Nov 30.

JOTA MANUFACTURING CO., LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Dec 28, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to J. W. Vincent, 41, Coleman st., liquidator.

LARGANBIE VAUDEVILLE SYNDICATE, LIMITED—Petn for winding up, presented Nov 12, directed to be heard Nov 26. Watkins, Harrington st., Liverpool, solors for petners. Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Nov 25. The London address for service is at the office of Messrs. Aird & Co., 4, Brabant st., Philpot in.

MERCHANTS EXCHANGE AND OFFICES CO., LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Dec 11, to send their debts or claims, and the names and addresses of their solors, to Richard Rees, 29, Queen st., Cardiff, liquidator.

PRINSTEAD, TYLER & CO., LIMITED—Petn for winding up, presented Oct 31, directed to be heard at the County Court, Birmingham, Nov 26, at 10.30. Goodrick Clarke & Smith, Birmingham, for Langford & Redfern, Moorgate Station chambers, Moorfields, solors for petners. Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Nov 27.

SLER, SLER & CO., LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Dec 30, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Mr George Lewis, 66, Fish st. hill. Robinson & Stannard, Eastcheap, solors for liquidators.

WREHAM BRICK AND TILE CO., LIMITED—Petn for winding up, presented Nov 8, directed to be heard Nov 26. Boxall & Boxall, Chancery in, solors for petners. Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Nov 25.

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, NOV. 19.
JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.
LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

ALUMINUM SYNDICATE, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Dec 18, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to D C Jolly, 1, Leadenhall bldg., Falmes & Co., solicitors for liquidator.

A TAYLOR & SON, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Dec 31, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Bertram Langley, 51, North John st., Liverpool, liquidator.

CONNELL'S MANURE CO., LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Jan 4, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to George Fewkes Clarke, 67, Lord st., Liverpool, liquidator.

GARDNER & SONS, LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION)—Creditors are required, on or before Dec 20, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Charles Brannan, 18, King st., Chesapside, liquidator.

G G SYNDICATE, LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION)—Creditors are required, on or before Dec 16, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Frederick Roche, 6, Princess st., liquidator.

KAT'S MINERAL WATER CO., LIMITED—Petn for winding up, presented Nov 8, directed to be heard at the Court House, Magistrates' Rooms, Bangor, Dec 16. Chamberlain & Johnson, Llandudno, and Norfolk st., Strand, solors for petn. Notice of appearing must be given to the Registrar of Companies at 10 o'clock in the afternoon of Dec 14.

SUNBAY CHEMICAL CO., LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Dec 31, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to William Henry Thomson, 49, Cannon st., Burn & Berridge, Old Broad st., solors for liquidator.

Creditors' Notices.

Under Estates in Chancery.

LAST DAY OF CLAIM.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, NOV. 8.

BARLOW, TREVOR WILBERFORCE, Tewkesbury, Glos Dec 6 Stutely v Barlow, Warrington, J Badham, Tewkesbury

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, NOV. 12.

COCKER, JOANTHAN, Broughton, Lancaster Dec 12 Shaw v Lange and McGill, Registrar,
Manchester Boutflower, Manchester
SHAW, SARAH JANE, West Didsbury, Lancaster Dec 12 Shaw v McGill, Registrar,
Manchester Boutflower, Manchester

Under 22 & 23 Vict. cap. 35.

LAST DAY OF CLAIM.

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, NOV. 5.

ALLOOCK, ELIZABETH, Attapaham Dec 17 Martin & Sons, Nottingham
ALLOOCK, JAMES WHITLY, Nottingham Dec 17 Martin & Sons, Nottingham
ARNOLD, EDWARD, Upper Tooting, Surrey Dec 18 Colman & Knight, Gray's inn sq
BARKER, THOMAS, Coventry, Watchmaker Dec 6 Twist & Sons, Coventry
BELAZ, EHRA, Small Heath, Birmingham Nov 30 Cottrell & Son, Birmingham
BISSELL, SARAH MATTLIDA, Edgbaston, Birmingham Dec 9 Passman, Leamington Spa
BRACE, THOMAS, Sawbridgeworth, Herts, Carter Dec 3 Nockolds & Wado, Bishop's Stortford, Herts
BRADBURY, WALTON TURNER, Sheffield, Silver Manufacturer Dec 1 Gascoite & Co, Essex sq, Strand
BRADSHOTT, KEPLAS, Heaton, Staffs, Yeoman Dec 14 Challiners & Shaw, Leek
BROADBENT, HENBERT, Kernal, nr Manchester Dec 1 Crofton & Co, Manchester
BUNSTER, ELIZABETH ISABELLA, Brighton Dec 5 Syper & Sons, London wall
BURTON, PHILIP HENRY, Alveston, Derby Nov 16 Eliza Ann Burton, Alveston, Derby
CHALLANS, JOHN, Grantham Dec 14 Norton, Grantham
CORBETT, KATE, Lowestoft Dec 16 Pinacot & Co, Birmingham
COBURN, CATHERINE, Leamington, Warwick Dec 30 Unett & Co, Birmingham
CROSBY, MARGARET, Burnley Dec 5 Bertwistle, Bury
DAVIS, DAVID, SWANSEA, Wholesale Draper Dec 6 Harland & Co, Swansea
DEARAD, ABRAHAM, Sticheough, nr Macclesfield, Fabbian Dec 1 Roberts, Rochdale
DUFF, THOMAS MASTERS, Braunston, Northampton Nov 30 W F & W Willoughby, Daventry
FORD, MURKWEATHER, Paignton, Devon Dec 10 Meado-King & Sons, Bristol
GALLAWAY, EMILY ISABEL, Heme Bay Dec 30 Jackson, Fenchurch st
HAWKWOOD, THOMAS, Smethwick, Staffs Nov 30 Cottrell & Son, Birmingham
HEMMING, MARY ANN, Bradford Dec 1 Dwyer, Dewsbury
HOLDER, ELLIS, Bishops Cleeve, nr Cheltenham Nov 15 Wood, Cheltenham
HOWE, HUBERT, Turlong rd, Holloway Dec 2 Watson, Finsbury circus
HUTCHESON, ESTHER ISABELLA, FitzGrove sq, West Kensington Dec 14 Seale & Morrison, Victoria st
LADDERDALE, FRANCIS FREDERICK, Kemel Hempstead, Herts Nov 18 Smiles & Co, Bedford row
LOWAK, ELIZA, Southport Dec 27 Boddington & Co, Manchester
LOWERY, JANE, Berwick upon Tweed Dec 5 Sanderson & Weatherhead, Berwick upon Tweed
MAYNIE, MARY, Bristol Nov 30 Atchley, Bristol
MAYOR, MATTHEW, Hulme, Manchester Dec 25 Talbot-Bateman & Co, Manchester
NEILL, ALEXANDER, Hutton, Manchester Dec 2 Bedford St, Manchester
NORRIS, ISAAC, Wadhwa gate, Hampstead, Merchant Nov 30 Botterell & Beebe, St Mary Ave
PEARCY, THOMAS, Stamford hill Dec 31 East, Basinghall st
PHILLIPS, OSCAR, Leicester sq Dec 30 Ley, Carey st, Lincoln's inn
PHILLIPS, MARY, King's Heath, Worcester Dec 5 Robinson, Birmingham
ROBERTSON, GEORGE, Hurworth on Twee, Durham, Shipowner Nov 15 J G & T Marshall, Sunderland
SANDERS, SARAH, Marylands rd, Paddington Dec 10 Stephenson & Co, Lombard st
SARGENT, MARIA, Birmingham Nov 30 Cottrell & Son, Birmingham
SAITZ, NORMA, & Thomas River, Worcester, Cape of Good Hope Nov 21 Manser & Co, Nottingham
SAW, SEPTIMUS, Ipswich Nov 22 Ryley, Ipswich
STARRATT, OLIVIA Jane, Clondale rd, Balham Dec 7 Seale & Morrison, Victoria st
STOTT, SAMUEL, Bolton, Beerceller Nov 30 Russell & Russell, Bolton
STOTT, AGNES, Bolton Nov 30 Russell & Russell, Bolton
RUCKLING, ALFRED, Bellam Heath, Birmingham Nov 30 Cottrell & Son, Birmingham
SON, GEORGE BENTLEY, Southport, Lancs Dec 6 Brighouse & Co, Southport
SWINDEN, TON HALL, Sheffield, Fawcraher Dec 1 Smith & Co, Sheffield
TODDARD, MARY SOCRUM, Southampton Dec 7 Hobbs & Brutton, Portsmouth
TROP, LOUISA, Kilmington, Yorks Nov 30 Kirby & Son, Harrogate
TUCKER, FRANCES EMILY, Ynyssybwl, Glam Nov 18 Davies & Co, Pontypridd
WARD, MICHAEL, Wigan, Pig Dealer Dec 2 Johnson, Wigan
WEDGWOOD, ELIZABETH BARNES, Lancaster Dec 10 Pennington & Higson, Liverpool
WEEKS, ROBERT, Long Ashton, Somerset Dec 21 O'Donoghue & Forbes, Bristol
WILLIAMS, CHARLOTTE, Wilberforce rd, Finsbury Park Nov 30 Shearman & Rayner, Broad's bldg, Chancery ln
WILLIAMS, HENRY, CANTON, Llanerddu Dec 10 Mill, Cardiff
WILLIAMS, THOMAS HENRI, Greenchurch st, Sealeton Dec 1 Hunt, Haymarket
WORTHINGTON, WILLIAM, Newton Abbot, Devon, Licensed Victualler Dec 14 Webster & Watson, Newton Abbot
WRIGHT, WILLIAM WILKINSON, Exeter Dec 31 Hall Manchester

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, NOV. 8.

BARKER, NICHOLAS, Scruton, Yorks Dec 14 Gardner, Northallerton
 BARKER, SARAH ELIZABETH, Scruton, Yorks Dec 14 Gardner, Northallerton
 BARON, JAMES, Waterfoot, Lancs, QUARTYMAN Dec 6 Howarth & Son, Bury
 BURTON, MARY ANN, Norwich, Dec 8 Goodchild, Norwich
 CAINE, THOMAS, Portsmouth Dec 30 Cousins & Burbridge, Portsmouth
 CALVERT, JAMES, Middlesbrough, Mosey Lender Nov 30 Punch & Robson, Middlesbrough
 CARRE, ADAM, Shooters Hill rd, Blackheath Dec 21 Rivers & Milne, Gracechurch st
 CASTLE, CHARLES WILLIAM, Ivy rd, Hounslow Dec 31 Greville-Smith, Clement's inn, Strand
 COCHRANE, ALEXANDER SARJANT, Hove, Sussex Dec 7 Voules & Welch, Bishopsgate at
 COLVILLE, CAROLINE MARY, Kempsey, Bournemouth Dec 6 Dawson & Co, New sq, Lincoln's inn
 COOMBE, JOSEPH, Exeter Dec 1 Sparkes & Co, Exeter
 DARLINGTON, GERTRAUDE MARY, Kingaland rd, Photographer Dec 17 Harris & Co, Finsbury sq
 DAWNEY, WILLIAM JOHN, Leicester Dec 3 J & S Harris, Leicester
 EVERARD, CAROLINE, Laverstock Hall, Wilts Dec 7 Bell & Co, Queen Victoria st
 FABRICIUS, NIKLA FONS, Hove, Sussex Dec 31 Matthews, Bedford row
 FREDERICK, JOHN ST JOHN, Camberley, Surrey Dec 7 Francis & Crookenden, New sq, Lincoln's inn
 GAZE, THOMAS WILLIAM, Diss, Norfolk, Estate Agent Dec 4 Jackman & Co, Ipswich
 GILL, FREDERICK, Liverpool Dec 7 Kent & Holroyd, Liverpool
 GREENHOW, MARY, Barnard Castle, Durham Dec 16 Watson & Co, Barnard Castle
 GREGORY, MATTHEW, Doncaster Dec 13 Atkinson & Sons, Doncaster
 HATWAY, HENRY, Lytham, Lancs Dec 9 Hoopers & Co, Birmingham
 HARKER, HENRY, Pontefract Dec 4 Leatham & Co, Pontefract
 HILDICK, FREDERICK JOHN, Oxford Dec 9 Hall, Oxford
 JACKSON, HANNAH, Birkdale, Southport, Lancs Dec 16 Brighthouse & Co, Southport
 LAWS, MANFIELD GIBSON, Ryhope, nr Sunderland, Farmer Nov 30 Steel & Co, Sunderland
 MADLEN, JOHN, King's Lynn, Norfolk, Farmer Dec 10 Dunn, King's Lynn
 MATRICK, EDWARD, Crossfield rd, Swiss Cottage Dec 6 Maskell & Nibbet, John st, Bedford row
 MILLER, HARRIET LUCRETIA, Hamilton rd, Ealing Dec 31 Minet & Co, King William st
 MOORE, THOMAS, M. A. Oakwood ct, Kensington Dec 9 Phillis Moore and H A Moore
 NEWLAND, CHARLES FREDERICK WATERS, Maresfield, Sussex Nov 16 Chapman & Martin, Lewes
 O'NEILL, GRACE, Lee, Kent Dec 9 Stones & Co, Finsbury circus
 PARNELL, FANNY MATILDA, Gloucester, Dec 12 Draper & Son, Vincent sq
 PAYNE, HENRY JONATHAN, Westfield rd, Ealing Dec 12 Parker & Son, High Wycombe
 RIVERS, WALTER, Hervey rd, Blackheath Dec 21 Rivers & Milne, Gracechurch st
 ROBE, GEORGE, Barnston, Chester, Silk Mercer Jan 31 Jones & Bees, Liverpool
 SAYAGO, THEODOREA, West Mallings, Kent Nov 30 Mount & Co, Gracechurch st
 SHANKE, JAMES, Ravensdale, Yorks Dec 31 Taylor, Upper Richmond rd, Putney
 SHAW, JOSEPH, Brookholes, Yorks Dec 9 Ramsden & Co, Huddersfield
 SMITH, SILENA, Warwick rd, Earl's Court rd Dec 12 Humphreys & Son, Giltspur chimney, Holborn viaduct
 WESTER, WILLIAM WALKER, Egmont, Chester Dec 7 Kent & Holroyd, Liverpool
 WILLIAMS, ELIZABETH BETHIAH, Rainham, Kent Nov 28 Edwin James Bootman, 117, Upper Milton rd, Gillingham
 WOODWARD, THOMAS BEST, Bredon, Worcester Dec 14 Field & Co, Liverpool
 WRIGHT, JOHN CHESTNEY, Northampton, Corn Factor's Assistant Dec 21 Hall, Manchester
 YOUNG, HENRY, Carfax sq, Clapham, Surrey Nov 15 Whitgreave, 16, East Arbour st
 ZELLY, ROBERT GEORGE, Newport, Mon Dec 31 Thomas, Woolwich

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, NOV. 12.

ANDREWS, MARY ANN, Chipping Norton, Oxford Dec 31 Wilkins & Toy, Chipping Norton
 BARKER, JOHN, Axminster, Devon Dec 30 J & S P Pope, Exeter
 BARLOW, JOHN, Bedford, Warwick, Licensed Victualler Dec 2 Robbins, Birmingham
 BARTON, JAMES, Tydd St Mary, Lancs Dec 9 Moseow & Moseow, Long Sutton, Lancs
 CAMPBELL, SARAH, Smeethwick, Staffs Dec 12 Colmore & Monkton, Birmingham
 DAWSON, ELIZABETH, Southport, Lancs Dec 9 Wheldon & Quayle, Southport
 FARRAR, JAMES WILKINSON, Roundhay, Leeds, Baker Dec 31 Atkinson, Shipley
 FRIEND, MARIA, Sheffield Dec 31 Webster & Styling, Sheffield
 GEARTY, PHILIP HENRY, Leigh on Sea, Essex Dec 16 Becroft, Queen st
 HARDING, MARY EMMA, Surbiton Dec 11 Sheard & Co, Clement's inn
 HANLEY, FREDERICK, Chalmersford Dec 9 Duffield & Son, Chalmersford
 HILL, THOMAS, Werdie, nr Rochdale Dec 7 Stott, Rochdale
 HILLS, ALFRED, Osgathorpe, Sheffield Dec 31 Irons, Sheffield
 ISOLIE, WILHELMINA SIMON, St Leonards on Sea, Sussex Dec 21 Chalinder & Herington, Hastings
 KAT, JOHN, Rochdale Dec 10 Moleworth & Co, Rochdale
 LAMB, THOMAS, Andover, Southampton Nov 21 Lamb & Son, Andover
 LAW, JOHN ROBERT, Muttra and Banda United Provinces in India Dec 10 Carlyon & Stephens, 8, Antell, Cornwall
 LUCAS, JOHN, Gorton, Manchester, Assurance Agent Dec 13 Moon & Lings, Manchester
 MARTIN, Sir THOMAS ACQUIN, Ryde, I of W Dec 14 Morgan & Co, Old Broad st
 MARTINDALE, ELIZABETH MARY, St Leonards on Sea, Sussex Dec 21 Metcalfe & Co, Raymond bldgs, Gray's inn
 MELLOR, JOHN MADRE, Blackpool Dec 31 Nowell & Co, Burnley
 MIDDLEBROOK, THOMAS GEORGE, Mornington rd, Camden Town, Licensed Victualler Jan 4 Claymont & Haynes, Bloomsbury sq
 MOORE, FRANCIS HARRIET, Earls Court sq Dec 9 Fielder & Co, Lincoln's inn fields
 MOTYLE, GEORGE THOMAS, Handsworth, Shop Assistant Dec 12 Bestall & Co, Birmingham
 NAUGHTON, GEORGE, Penkridge, Staffs, Miller Dec 31 Strik & Co, Wolverhampton
 NIGHTINGALE, WILLIAM, Mall chambers, Kensington Dec 7 Welman & Sons, Westbourne grove, Bayswater
 PARKINSON, HERBERT, Liscard, Cheshire Dec 20 Mylchreest, Liverpool
 PASLEY, AMELIA HELEN, Boleingrove rd, West Kensington Dec 9 Stuart & Tull, Gray's inn sq
 REA, LOUISE ANN, Seaford, Sussex Jan 1 Jones & Blakeway, Gloucester
 ROBERTS, JOSEPH, Liverpool Dec 14 Ward & Co, Liverpool
 ROBBINS, EMMA, Lander mans, Highgate Dec 31 Taylor & Co, Field ct, Gray's inn
 ROGERS, ROSE EMMA, Harrow rd, Paddington Dec 7 Welman & Sons, Westbourne grove, Bayswater
 RONALD, ROBERT BRUCE, Pembury Grange, nr Tunbridge Wells March 1 Crawford & Co, Cannon st
 SCOTTER, SARAH ANN, Daresbury rd, Stamford Hill Dec 13 Mundell, Godliman st
 SEEKINS, WILLIAM, Acton Vale Nov 31 Stollard, Arundel st, Strand
 SKIFF, GEORGE, Higher Transmere, Blakenhead Dec 10 Newman & Olley, Liverpool
 SOUTHEY, WILLIAM CHARLES, Sutton, Surrey Dec 31 Spencer & Co, Queens ct, Chesham
 STAMP, JANE FORREST, Worle, nr Weston super Mare Dec 16 Lydall & Sons, John st, Bedford row
 STEEDMAN, FRANCES MARGARET, Hampton Hill Dec 31 Dixon & Co, Lancaster pl, Strand
 TANNER, ALBERT Egerton mans, Brompton rd Dec 31 Wilkinson, St Helen's pl
 WADDT, FREDERICK, Portsmouth Dec 10 King & Francekins, Portsmouth
 WEDDO, CHARLES NEWDIGATE, Walworth rd Dec 16 Greenop & Co, Callum st
 WELFORD, MARY ANN, Farringdon rd Dec 11 Bockett, Coleman st
 WEST, ROBERT GEORGE, Waterloo, nr Liverpool Dec 14 Echeons & Co, Liverpool
 WHITLEY, JOHN, New Wortley, Leeds, Grocer Nov 30 Lord, Leeds
 WHYBROW, THOMAS, Lower Froyle, nr Alton, Hants Dec 14 Grant & Co, Strand
 WIDEGOOD, JOSEPH, Altrincham, Chester Dec 14 Redford & Co, Manchester
 WOOD, JAMES BROADBENT, Weirith, Oldham, Coal Merchant Dec 10 Kliner, Lees, Oldham

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, NOV. 15.

ALLSOPP, The Hon GEORGE HIGGINS, Hereford gins Dec 23 Talbot & Co, Burton on Trent
 BARLOW, JOHN, Bedford, Warwick, Licensed Victualler Dec 2 Robbins, Birmingham
 BARNETT, SARAH, Dunmure rd, Stoke Newington Dec 31 Goldberg & Co, West st, Finsbury circus
 BATES, ANTHONY, Steeple Aston, Oxford Dec 15 Freeman & Co, Cannon st
 BERRINGTON, WILLIAM ROBERT JOHN, Hull Jan 1 Stephenson & Co, Lombard st
 CARTER, NICHOLAS, Lalington Vicarage, nr King's Lynn Dec 20 Tittley & Paver-Crow, Hartgate
 CHATFIELD, SARAH JANE, Heath End, Pelsall, Staffs Dec 23 Evans, Walsall
 CHAWHALL, GEORGE, Burton Croft, York Dec 21 Cobb & Son, York
 CULLEN, ALFRED, East Dulwich Dec 19 Edwards & Sons, Moorgate st
 DEAN, ANN, Streetly, Sutton Coldfield, Warwick Dec 13 Pointon & Evershed, Birmingham
 DOWN, CATHERINE, Northampton Feb 1 Broad & Biggall, Watford
 DUNE, FLESTY, Forest Gate, Essex, Draper Jan 1 Beal & Davey, Devonshire sq, Liverpool st
 DUNNAGE, THOMAS ALFRED, Dedham, Essex Dec 15 Thompson, Colchester
 EDELL, MARGARET, Ripon, Yorks Dec 31 Wise & Son, Ripon
 EDELL, RICHARD, Ripon, Yorks Dec 31 Wise & Son, Ripon
 EDWARDS, JOHN, Crickheath, Westbury, Salop Dec 16 Hughes & Jones, Llanfyllin
 EVANS, SIR DAVID TERENCE, KCMG, Watling st, Jan 1 Drake & Co, Road in
 EVANS, EDMUND, Barton Hill, Bristol, Furniture Dealer Dec 18 Watts, Bristol
 FEARNSIDE, JOSHUA, Heworth, York, Corn Miller's Manager Dec 31 Kay, York
 FIDDEN, RICHARD, Bristol Dec 11 Ward, Bristol
 FLOWER, GEORGE ALEXANDER, Redcliffe st, Earl's Court Dec 21 Wood, Wington, Somerset
 FUDGE, GEORGE, Boscombe, Bournemouth, Purveyor of Meat Dec 14 J & W H Drutt, Bournemouth
 GIGG, EMILY, Romford Nov 28 Farber & Son, Gray's inn sq
 GURRY, JOSEPH, Skirbeck, Lincs, Solicitor's Clerk Dec 31 Millington & Simpson, Huston
 GOODE, MARY CAROLINE, Dorking Dec 20 Louch & Co, Langport, Somerset
 HALL, JANE BOYD, Warwick crescent, Malda Vale Dec 15 Handford & Co, Arundel st
 HALLAS, SQUARE, Mirfield, Yorks Dec 31 Wilson & Topham, Mirfield
 HASLAM, MARGARET REBECCA HOPE, Warwick rd, Earl's Court Nov 30 Barton & Pearman, Norfolk st, Strand
 HAWKINS, JOHN, Darnall, Sheffield Dec 15 Smith & Co, Sheffield
 HAWKINS, MARY, Brackley, Northampton Dec 5 Bliss & Fisher, Banbury
 HAWKINS, ROBERT, Brackley, Northampton Dec 5 Bliss & Fisher, Banbury
 HINGCHIFFE, NANCY, Shrewsbury Dec 13 Nutsey & Payne, Shrewsbury
 HUGHES, CHARLES WESLEY High st, Kensington Dec 13 Haslam & Co, Moorgate st
 HUNT, SARAH, Southampton, Dec 23 Coxwell & Pope, Southampton
 JACKSON, SARAH, Wolverhampton Dec 1 Stratton & Son, Wolverhampton
 JELLEY, MANTHA EMMA, Little Heath, Old Charlton Dec 9 Sampson, Woolwich
 LIDDETT, GEORGE, Little st, Shipowner Dec 21 Walker & Baskincombe, Basinghall st
 LIDDECK, ELGAR, Grantham, Banker Dec 31 Golding & Co, Cannon st
 MASTERMAN, MARY ANN, York Dec 10 Kay, York
 MASTERMAN, THOMAS, York, Butcher Dec 10 Kay, York
 MILBANE, THOMAS, Fairbank st, East rd, City rd Dec 12 Lloyd, Wormwood st
 MORANT, ISABELLA, Surbiton Dec 25 Janson & Co, College hill
 MOUNSEY, RICHARD, Penrith, Cumberland, Pedlar Dec 31 Cant & Fairer, Penrith
 POPE, WILLIAM WELFORD, Moorhead Bishop, Devon, Yeoman Dec 25 Dryden, Crediton
 REES, MARGARET, Tredgar, Mon Dec 5 Dauncey & Son, Tredgar
 ROBERTS, RICHARD HENRY LLEWELLYN, Reigate Jan 19 Austin & Austin, Clement's inn, Strand
 ROWE, FRANCES ELIZA, Newport Dec 6 Lyne & Co, Newport, Mon
 SACHMANN, JOHANNES, Denbigh st, Pimlico, Courier Dec 21 Laundry & Co, Bedford st, Strand
 SALMON, ALBERT BEAL, Russell sq, Oil Stone Importer Dec 21 Stilgoes, Essex st, Strand
 SEABLES, EMILY MARGARET, Copford Green, Essex Dec 31 Valpy & Co, Lincoln's inn fields
 SHAW, SAMUEL, Hipperholme, Halifax, Farmer Dec 20 Riley, Halifax
 SHAW, ISABELLA LOUISE, Dresden, Germany Dec 13 Speechly & Co, New sq, Lincoln's inn
 SIMONS, ANTHONY THOMAS, Bedford Dec 21 Halliley & Morrison, Bedford
 SIRS, WILLIAM, Clayhall, Gosport, Hants Dec 25 Blake & Co, Portsmouth
 SMITH, MARY ELIZABETH, Boston, Lincs Dec 31 Millington & Simpson, Boston
 SNOWDEN, RICHARD, Burley in Wharfedale, York Nov 30 Moore & Shepherd, Bradford
 WARE, EDWARD, Piccadilly Dec 23 Biggs-Roche & Co, Lincoln's inn fields
 WELLS, JOSEPH, Scarborough, Hotel Keeper Dec 2 Watts & Co, Scarborough
 WREWELL, ALICE, Clitheroe, Lancs Jan 1 Baldwin & Co, Clitheroe

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, NOV. 19.

ADAMS, HELEN SOPHIA, Newbury, Berks Dec 17 B & J C Pinniger, Newbury
 ALLEN, EDWARD, Spinkhill, Worcester Dec 31 Hooker & Ryland, Birmingham
 ALLON, ARN, Orpington rd, Holloway Feb 11 Wedlake, L. & S. W. Bank chambers, Finsbury Park
 ASHTON, CATHERINE, Kingston upon Hull, Yeast Merchant Jan 1 Mitchell, Walbrook
 AUBERTIN, CHARLOTTE, Connaught sq Jan 20 Tamplin & Co, Fenchurch st
 AUCLAND, EDWIN CHARLES, Frittlewell, Essex, Licensed Victualler Nov 26 Jefferies, Southend on Sea
 BUDD, HARRY, Longtown, Cumberland Dec 31 Webster & Styling, Sheffield
 COX, GEORGE AUGUSTUS, Salisbury Dec 23 Allen & Co, Eastcheap
 DIVE, FREDERICK GEORGE, Eastbourne, Butcher Dec 25 Hillman & Burt, Eastbourne
 EVANS, JOHN, Aberystwyth, Mon Jan 19 Bythway & Son, Pontypool
 FAIRLESS, MARIA, Horsford rd, Brixton Hill Dec 11 Hutchison & Cuff, Chancery in
 FAIRLESS, ELIZA JANE, Horsford rd, Brixton Hill Dec 11 Hutchison & Cuff, Chancery in
 FEARNLEY, JOHN, Boothtown, Halifax Nov 30 Leach, Halifax
 FULLER, CLARA, Worthing Dec 18 Green & Charles, Worthing
 GIFFORD, RAUBEN SAMUEL, Drayton Green rd, Ealing Jan 18 Pilley & Mitchell, Bedford row
 GUYER, JOHN, Oldham, Plasterer Dec 31 Ascroft & Co, Oldham
 GREENHAIGH, ROBERT, Manchester, Dec 31 Linnell & Linnell, Manchester
 HAIGH, JAMES FREDERICK, Huddersfield, Licensed Broker Dec 16 Piercy, Huddersfield
 HALVEY, ANN, Knutsford, Chester Dec 31 Allen & Co, Manchester
 HALL, REV JOHN MELLAND, Harescombe Rectory, nr Stroud, Glos Dec 20 Little & Whittingham, Stroud, Glos
 HUDSON, MARY ANN, Oxford Dec 23 Colman & Knight, Gray's inn sq
 HUTCHINS, EMMA, Hove, Sussex Dec 31 Pedley & Co, Bush in
 JAMES, WILLIAM, Harshebench, Llanstadwell Dec 20 Evans & Williams, Haverfordwest
 KNIGHT, JOHN, Woodford Green, Essex Dec 31 Gibson, Leadenhall st
 LAMOND, ISABELLA, Alderley Edge, Chester Dec 20 Leigh, Manchester
 LAWLEY, ARTHUR, Alderley Edge, Chester, Merchant Dec 31 Diggle & Ogden, Manchester
 MATTHEWS, HENRY THOMAS, Cumberland terr, Regent's Park Dec 31 Hodgkinson, Chancery in
 MILLER, JOHN, Cardiff Dec 20 Richards, Cardiff
 MURDOCK, GEORGE, South Bedford, Notts, Rope Manufac use Dec 2 Clay, Bedford
 MURDOCK, JULIA, Bedford Notts Dec 2 Clay, Bedford
 NEGUS, AGNES NEWITT, Cambridge Dec 23 Fowler & Co, Bedford row
 OLDFIELD, ELISHA HENRY, Newbury, Berks Dec 18 Drake & Co, Road in
 PARRY, JANE ELIZABETH, Hilldrop cres, Camden rd, Islington Dec 30 Stuckey & Co, Brighton
 PEARCE, WILLIAM, Redland, Bristol Dec 23 Perham & Son, Bristol
 POOL, FRANK JOHN, Eastbourne, Stationer Dec 20 Button & Co, Covent garden
 POTTER, HENRY ROSSALL, Hove, Sussex, J P Dec 24 Boyes & Son, Barnet

BANCROFT, WILLIAM HENRY, Wakefield, Decorator Nov 22 at 11 Off Rec, 6, Bond st, Wakefield

BANTARD, PHILIP, and GEORGE PHILIP BANTARD, Cambridge, Builders Nov 20 at 12 Off Rec, 5, Petty Cur, Cambridge

BARNETT, CHARLES WILLIAM, Kipperknoll, Hereford, Farmer Nov 20 at 2.30 2, Offa st, Hereford

BELL, FRED, Kingston upon Hull, Grocer Nov 20 at 11 Off Rec, York City Bank chmbrs, Lowgate, Hull

BLACKBURN, HOWARD, Preston, Livery Stables Keeper Nov 20 at 11.15 Off Rec, 14, Chapel st, Preston

BOGGIANO, JAMES BERNARD, Liverpool, Commission Agent Nov 20 at 11 Off Rec, 35, Victoria st, Liverpool

BROADHEAD, ALBERT EDWARD, Cudworth, nr Barnsley, General Dealer Nov 21 at 10.30 Off Rec, 7, Regent st, Barnsley

BULLOCK, THOMAS WALTER GEORGE, Redland, Bristol, Commercial Clerk Nov 20 at 12.30 Off Rec, 28, Baldwin st, Bristol

COLEMAN, EDWARD AMOND, Norwich, Builder Nov 20 at 3.30 Off Rec, 8, King st, Norwich

DAVIES, DAVID, Treaslaw, Glam, Haulier Nov 20 at 10.30 Off Rec, Post Office chmbrs, Pontypridd

DURBAN, JOSEPH, Towcester, Northampton, Commission Agent Nov 21 at 11 Off Rec, Bridge st, Northampton

ELLIS, WILLIAM, son, Lavender hill, Battersea, House Agent Nov 20 at 12 189, York rd, Westminster Bridge

GODDEN, A, Rollitt crescent, Hantsworth rd, Hounslow, Builder Nov 23 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

GOLDUP, BURNETT JAMES, Ash, Kent, Farm Labourer Nov 20 at 9.45 Off Rec, 68A, Castle st, Canterbury

HALL, JOHN, Sunderland, Contractor Nov 20 at 3 Off Rec, 3, Manor pl, Sunderland

HAMILTON, GAVIN RUSSELL, Chapeltown, Leeds, Traveller Nov 20 at 11 Off Rec, 24, Bond st, Leeds

HILL, FREDERICK CHALICE, Brightham, Smackowner Nov 21 at 3 Bolton Hotel, Brightham

HINDLEY, THOMAS, Stockport, Metal Broker Nov 21 at 3.15 Off Rec, Castle chmbrs, 6, Vernon st, Stockport

HIRST, JAMES HERBERT WILLIAM, Kingston upon Hull, Timber Merchant Nov 20 at 11.30 Off Rec, York City Bank chmbrs, Lowgate, Hull

HUTTLEY, CHARLES FREDERICK, Blackpool, Grocer Nov 20 at 11.30 Off Rec, 14, Chapel st, Preston

IGLIDDER, ERNIE ELIZABETH, Dover, Jeweller Nov 20 at 11.45 Off Rec, 68A, Castle st, Canterbury

JUB, RICHARD HERBERT, Bradford, Manufacturer Nov 21 at 11 Off Rec, 39, Manor row, Bradford

MOSFOW, CAROLINE ISABELLA, Linsdale, Leighton Buzzard, Bucks Nov 20 at 12 The Swan Hotel, Leighton Buzzard

NASH, ALFRED WILLIAM, Fishponds, Bristol, Plumber Nov 20 at 12 Off Rec, 26, Baldwin st, Bristol

NORMAN & SON, Stratford, Auctioneers Nov 21 at 2.30 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey st

OLIVER, AMELIA, Buxton, Derby, Boarding House Keeper Nov 21 at 2.45 Off Rec, Castle chmbrs, 6, Vernon st, Stockport

PEARSON, HENRY EDWARD, Margate, Grocer Nov 20 at 10.15 Off Rec, 68A, Castle st, Canterbury

PITCHFORTH, T.C., Leeds, Butcher Nov 21 at 11 Off Rec, 24, Bond st, Leeds

POOK, HENRY JAMES, Burnham, Somerset, General Haulier Nov 20 at 11.45 Off Rec, 28, Baldwin st, Bristol

RAWSON, JOHN, Bristol, Butcher's Assistant Nov 20 at 12.15 Off Rec, 28, Baldwin st, Bristol

RAYNER, ERNEST, Birmingham, Metal Dealer Nov 21 at 11.30 191, Corporation st, Birmingham

ROBINSON, WHALLEY, Southport, Lancs, Stationer Nov 20 at 12 Off Rec, 35, Victoria st, Liverpool

SCRIVENER, ARTHUR, jun, Birmingham, Baker Nov 20 at 11.30 191, Corporation st, Birmingham

SEBSON, WILLIAM, Thornhill, Leas, nr Dewsbury, Fish Dealer Nov 20 at 11 Off Rec, Bank chmbrs, Corporation st, Dewsbury

SPEARING, THOMAS, St John's hill, Clapham Junction, Auctioneer Nov 21 at 3 133, York rd, Westminster Bridge

WALKER, FRED, Bridlington, Yorks, Hay Dealer Nov 25 at 4 Off Rec, 74, Newborough, Scarborough

WARRER, THOMAS, Blackpool, nr Pontypool, Mon, Farmer Nov 20 at 11.30 Off Rec, 144, Commercial st, Newport

WHEELER, THOMAS, Twynrodyn, Merthyr Tydfil, Assistant Timberman Nov 20 at 12 Off Rec, County Court, Townhall, Merthyr Tydfil

WILLS, THOMAS, Plymouth, Butcher Nov 20 at 12 7, Buckland ter, Plymouth

WOODWARD, ALFRED AUGUSTUS, Surbiton Nov 20 at 11.30 132, York rd, Westminster Bridge

ADJUDICATIONS.

ALLEN, JOHN GALE, Greenwich, Lighterman Greenwich Pet Nov 6 Ord Nov 6

ANTHONY, HENRY, Granville gds, Comic Conjuror High Court Pet Nov 7 Ord Nov 7

BARTHOLOPE, MARCUS, Herne Bay Canterbury Pet Nov 8 Ord Nov 8

BARNETT, CHARLES WILLIAM, Kipperknoll, Wellington, Hereford, Farmer Hereford Pet Nov 7 Ord Nov 7

BULLOCK, THOMAS WALTER GEORGE, Redland, Bristol, Commercial Clerk Bristol Pet Nov 7 Ord Nov 7

CARPENTER, WILLIAM CHARLES MORRISON, Bishopsgate av High Court Pet Sept 5 Ord Nov 7

COLEMAN, EDWARD AMOND, Norwich, Builder Norwich Pet Nov 9 Ord Nov 9

CROSBY, JOSEPH, Gt Harwood, Lancs, Weaver Blackburn Pet Nov 7 Ord Nov 7

DALEY, GODFRED RUSSELL, Belly Oak, Worcester, Provision Merchant Birmingham Pet Nov 8 Ord Nov 8

DAVIES, DAVID, Treaslaw, Glam, Haulier Pontypridd Pet Nov 6 Ord Nov 6

DICK, QUINTON, Chestport, Farmer Newport, Mon Pet Oct 15 Ord Nov 6

DURBAN, JOSEPH, Towcester, Northampton, Commission Agent Northampton Pet Nov 6 Ord Nov 6

GEORGE, E F, Strand, Florist High Court Pet Aug 18 Ord Nov 6

GERTLER, JUDAH, Leighton Buzzard, Beds, Draper Luton Pet Nov 8 Ord Nov 8

HINDLEY, THOMAS, Stockport, Metal Broker Stockport Pet Oct 10 Ord Nov 6

HUGHES, DAVID, Maesteg, Glam, Grocer Cardiff Pet Nov 5 Ord Nov 5

JACKSON, CHARLES ERNEST, Castle Eden Colliery, Durham, Plumber Sunderland Pet Nov 7 Ord Nov 7

JENKINS, SAMUEL HERBERT, Fishponds, Bristol, Cabinet Maker Bristol Pet Nov 9 Ord Nov 9

JEWELL, ROBERT, New Broad st, Company Promoter High Court Pet Aug 9 Ord Nov 9

JOHNSON, JAMES HENRY, Wisbech St Peter, Cambridge, Builder King's Lynn Pet Nov 8 Ord Nov 8

JUB, RICHARD HERBERT, Bradford, Manufacturer Bradford Pet Nov 8 Ord Nov 8

LAWSON, GEORGE, Gt Yarmouth, Smackowner Gt Yarmouth Pet Nov 8 Ord Nov 8

NUTHAN, FREDERICK BRIDLEY, Lowestoft, Boot Maker Gt Yarmouth Pet Nov 7 Ord Nov 7

PATSON, WILLIAM HAROLD, Gaiety Hotel, Strand High Court Pet May 23 Ord Nov 7

PENNINGTON, ELI, jun, Adlington, nr Macclesfield, Farmer Macclesfield Pet Oct 14 Ord Nov 8

ROTHWELL, JAMES, Blackburn, Plumber Blackburn Pet Nov 7 Ord Nov 7

SIMPSON, ROBERT, High rd, Balham, Barrister at Law High Court Pet July 17 Ord Oct 31

TORS, WILLIAM RICHARD, Chesham, Bucks, Boot Manufacturer Aylesbury Pet Oct 24 Ord Nov 9

TUCKER, EDWIN, Maesteg, Baker Cardiff Pet Nov 6 Ord Nov 6

WELLS, SARAH JANE, Chorley, Lancs, Grocer Bolton Pet June 1 Ord Nov 7

ADJUDICATION ANNULLLED.

HARTLEY, ROBERT, Birstall, York, Fish Dealer Dewsbury Adjud May 7, 1906 Annull Nov 7, 1907

ADJUDICATION ANNULLLED AND RECEIVING ORDER RESCINDED.

LEACHMAN, EDWARD CLAUDE, Southwood Lodge, Highgate High Court Rec Ord June 20, 1906 Adjud Nov 14, 1906 Resc and Annull Nov 6, 1907

ADJUDICATION ANNULLLED, RECEIVING ORDER RESCINDED, AND PETITION DISMISSED.

JOEL, LOUIS, Croxted rd, West Dalwich, Company Promoter High Court Filing Pet Nov 13, 1906 Rec Ord Dec 14, 1906 Adjud Jan 11, 1907 Resc, Annull, and Dis Pet Nov 8, 1907

RESCINDING ORDER MADE ON APPLICATION FOR DISCHARGE.

SMITH, CHARLES, Saltley, Birmingham, Refreshment House Keeper Birmingham Ord for Dis Oct 22, 1903 Resc Oct 17, 1907

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, NOV. 15.

RECEIVING ORDERS.

ALLEN, JOHN HENRY, Spalding, Lincs, Market Gardener Peterborough Pet Nov 11 Ord Nov 11

ATKINSON, ELIZA, Howness on Windermere, Westmorland, Draper Kendal Pet Nov 12 Ord Nov 12

AUSTIN, HENRY EDWARD, Ashford, Kent, Wine Merchant Canterbury Pet Nov 11 Ord Nov 11

AVERT, CHARLOTTE ASH, Fairbairn rd, Stoke Newington, Costumier Edmonton Pet Nov 12 Ord Nov 12

BAKER, EDWARD JAMES, and WILLIAM THOMAS BAKER, Hoo, nr Rochester, Master Pottery Rochester Pet Nov 9 Ord Nov 9

BARN, HUBERT, Birmingham, Composer Birmingham Pet Nov 11 Ord Nov 11

BARTLETT, TROPHILUS, Broadwindsor, Dorset Dorchester Pet Oct 31 Ord Nov 12

BEAVES, CHARLES, Andover, Southampton, Linc Burner Salisbury Pet Nov 11 Ord Nov 11

BERNSTEIN, ALEXANDER, Ilford Chelmsford Pet Sept 5 Ord Nov 11

BISHOP & Co, C, Gracechurch st High Court Pet Oct 14 Ord Nov 12

BROWN, EDGAR JAMES, Westcliff on Sea, Essex, Solicitor Chelmsford Pet Sept 30 Ord Oct 29

BULLOCK, ALFRED NEWTON, Walsall Walsall Pet Nov 11 Ord Nov 11

BULTITUDE, GILBERT MAYES, Cromer Norwich Pet Nov 12 Ord Nov 12

CALLIER, ISRAEL, Chasetown, nr Walsall, Miner Walsall Pet Nov 12 Ord Nov 12

CLARE, FRED, Yeovil, Builder Yeovil Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13

CLARK, FREDERICK EDWIN, Shelbourne rd, Tottenham, Builder Edmonton Pet Sept 19 Ord Nov 11

CLARKE, HENRY CRETHERAN, Bamber, Lincs, Accountants' Clerk Lincoln Pet Nov 11 Ord Nov 11

COLER, ERNEST JAMES, Adelaide rd, Hampstead, Engineer High Court Pet Nov 14 Ord Nov 11

DE FAYE, FOUAREL, Devonshire st, Portland pl High Court Pet Oct 8 Ord Nov 11

DEUMOND, SAMUEL, St Leonards on Sea, Sussex Bradford Pet Oct 23 Ord Nov 12

EDGE, JOHN ARTHUR, and SAMUEL WOOLMAN EDGE, Graves Arms, Salop, Drapers Leominster Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 12

EVANS, HENRY JOHN, Ilford, Certificated Bailiff Chelmsford Pet Nov 11 Ord Nov 11

FAWTELL, WILLIAM, Southport, Gardener Liverpool Pet Nov 11 Ord Nov 11

FOSTER, WILLIAM JAMES, Sydenham, Corn Merchant Greenwich Pet Oct 11 Ord Nov 12

GIBSON, GEORGE, St Philips, Bristol, Photographer Bristol Pet Nov 11 Ord Nov 11

HALL, GEORGE, Eastchurch, Sheppey, Kent, Farmer Rochester Pet Oct 29 Ord Nov 11

HARRIS, ARTHUR EDWIN, Ipswich Ipswich Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13

HICKS, ALFRED WILLIAM, Wolverhampton, Builder Wolverhampton Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13

HIRST, SAM, Godalming, Draper's Assistant Guildford Pet Nov 12 Ord Nov 12

HOPGOND, CHARLES WILLIAM, Fratton, Portsmouth, Green-grocer Portsmouth Pet Nov 19 Ord Nov 13

HOWDEN, GILBERT HENRY, Shirehampton, Bristol, Tailor Bristol Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 12

IRELAND, CHARLES HENRY, Gt Grimsby, Engineer Gt Grimsby Pet Nov 11 Ord Nov 11

JOHNSTONE, H B, Brighton Brighton Pet Oct 26 Ord Nov 11

JONES, JOHN, Stanleytown, Tylorstown, Glam, Labourer Pontypool Pet Nov 12 Ord Nov 13

ILLINGWORTH, FREDERICK WILLIAM, Wakefield, Licensed Victualler Wakefield Pet Nov 12 Ord Nov 12

KAYE, GEORGE, Linthwaite, Huddersfield, Quarryman Huddersfield Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13

LANG, JOHN REMNELA, Red Barn, Brixham, Devon, Smack Owner Plymouth Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 12

LEA, WILLIAM, Cardiff Cardiff Pet Nov 11 Ord Nov 11

LEWIS, FREDERICK THOMAS, Oxford, Painter Aylesbury Pet Nov 12 Ord Nov 13

LEVIS, REUBEN, Brick ln, Whitechapel, Butcher High Court Pet Nov 12 Ord Nov 12

LOWE, JOHN HENRY, Leicester, Furniture Dealer Leicester Pet Nov 7 Ord Nov 11

LOWE, JAMES WILLIAM, St Helens, Lancs, Grocer Liverpool Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13

THE LICENSES INSURANCE CORPORATION AND GUARANTEE FUND, LIMITED.

24, MOORGATE STREET, LONDON, E.C.

ESTABLISHED IN 1891.

EXCLUSIVE BUSINESS—LICENSED PROPERTY.

X

SPECIALISTS IN ALL LICENSING MATTERS.

630 Appeals to Quarter Sessions have been conducted under the direction and supervision of the Corporation.

X

Suitable Insurance Clauses for inserting in Leases or Mortgages of Licensed Property, Settled by Counsel, will be sent on application.

NOW READY.

THE LEGAL DIARY, ALMANAC,

AND
COMPLETE LEGAL DIRECTORY

1908.

Recognized everywhere as the
Best and Most Comprehensive

Diary for Solicitors
and Barristers.

Prices: 3s. 6d., 5s., 6s., and 8s. 6d.,
according to Diary Space.

JUST PUBLISHED. TENTH EDITION.

REVISED AND CORRECTED UP TO DATE.

Demy 8vo, price 4s. 6d. net, post-free.

CONVEYANCING COSTS, THE SOLICITORS' REMUNERATION ACT, 1881,

AND THE

GENERAL ORDER

MADE IN PURSUANCE THEREOF;

LAND REGISTRY ACTS, RULES, FEES, & COSTS.

With COMPREHENSIVE TABLES showing the Remuneration under each head, and Introduction, Summary, Notes, Precedents, Appendix, and Decisions under the Acts; being a

COMPLETE GUIDE TO THE SCALE OF CHARGES.

By J. F. C. BENNETT,

Solicitor of the Supreme Court.

JUST PUBLISHED. THIRD EDITION.

Price 2s. 6d. net, post-free.

ESTATE DUTY

AS IMPOSED BY

THE FINANCE ACT, 1894.

AND AMENDED BY SUBSEQUENT LEGISLATION

(Including the Alterations effected by the
Finance Act, 1907.)

WITH NOTES.

By E. J. EADES.

Manager in the Estate Duty Department of Messrs. Waterlow Bros. & Layton, Limited.

JUST PUBLISHED. ELEVENTH EDITION.

REVISED AND CORRECTED UP TO DATE.

Price 2s. 6d. net, post-free.

THE STAMP LAWS

AS CHARGED BY

THE STAMP ACT, 1891,

And Amended by subsequent Legislation; with Schedule of Duties, Regulations as to Stamping, and Notes and Cases as to Adjudications and General Practice.

Waterlow Bros. & Layton,
LIMITED,
24 & 25, BIRCHIN LANE, E.C.

MADDISON, WILLIAM HATTON, Cirencester, Horse Dealer
Swindon Pet Oct 23 Ord Nov 13
MARTIN & Co, V W, Grocers, Sunbury, Corn Merchants
Tunbridge Wells Pet Oct 17 Ord Nov 11
MAXNE, CRAVEN FITZARDINGOR ALEXANDER, Bournemouth
P.O. Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 12
MITCHELL, AUGUSTUS, Clement's In, Agent High Court
Pet Oct 30 Ord Nov 11
MORRIS, JOSEPH, Wymering mans, Malda Vale, Investment
Broker High Court Pet Oct 23 Ord Nov 13
MULLINS, WALTER, St John's, Woking, Surrey, Grocer's
Assistant Guildford Pet Nov 12 Ord Nov 13
POWERS, EDWARD, Queen Victoria st, Surveyor High Court
Pet Oct 21 Ord Nov 13
QUINCY, EDWARD, Wantage, Berks, Licensed Victualler
Oxford Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13
RAMSAY, JOHN MOLL, Castlewood rd, Stamford Hill, Glass
Merchant High Court Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13
RAPHAEL, MORRIS, Charing Cross rd, Tallor High Court
Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 12
SHAPIRA, VICTOR, Commercial st, Spitalfields, Ladies'
Underclothing Manufacturer High Court Pet Nov
12 Ord Nov 13
SHEPHERD, JAMES EDWARD, Burnley, Grocer Burnley Pet
Nov 11 Ord Nov 13
SHUTTLEWORTH, WILLIAM HENRY, Halifax, Billiard Room
Manager Halifax Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13
SMITH, ARTHUR JOHN, Bournemouth, Furniture Dealer
Poole Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13
STAFFORD, WILLIAM HENRY, Derby, House Furnisher
Derby Pet Oct 31 Ord Nov 9
THOMAS, JOSEPH EMMANUEL, Nottingham Nottingham
Pet Nov 1 Ord Nov 13
TOLSON, JOHN EDWIN, Miffield, Yorks Dewsbury Pet
Nov 11 Ord Nov 11
VENUS, ELLEN ADA, Bournemouth Poole Pet Nov 13 Ord
Nov 13
WALDOCK, GEORGE HENRY, Furriers Cross rd, Fulham, Corn
Merchant High Court Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13
ZACHARIA, CHARLES, Bridgend, Glamorgan Cardiff Pet Nov 13
Ord Nov 13

FIRST MEETINGS.

AVERY, CHARLOTTE ANN, Fairholt rd, Stoke Newington,
Costumiers Nov 23 at 11 14, Bedford row
BAKER, EDWARD JAMES, and WILLIAM THOMAS BAKER, Hoo,
Rochester, Master Potters Nov 23 at 12 15 115,
High st, Rochester
BISBOP & Co, C, Gracechurch st, Nov 23 at 11 Bank-
ruptcy bldg, Carey st
CADE, THOMAS TIMOTHY, Chesterfield, Costumier Nov 23 at
12 14, Bedford row
CLARK, FREDERICK EDWIN, Shelbourne rd, Tottenham,
Builder Nov 23 at 12 14, Bedford row
DE FRETTE, FOUQUEL, Devonshire st, Portland pl Nov 23
at 1 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
DIX, HARRY, Reading, Baker Nov 23 at 12 30 Queen's
Hotel, Reading
DRUMMOND, SAMUEL, St Leonards on Sea, Sussex Nov 23
at 11 Off Rec, 20, Manor row, Bradford
EAMES, THOMAS, Llanberis, Carnarvon, Baker Nov 27 at
2 45 British Hotel, Bangor
ELTINGHAM, JOHN, Sunderland, Architect Nov 23 at 3
Off Rec, 3, Manor pl, Sunderland
FOSTER, WILLIAM JAMES, Sydenham, Corn Merchant
Nov 23 at 11 30 132, York rd, Westminster Bridge
GENTLEMAN, JUDAH, Leighton Buzzard, Draper Nov 23 at
12 Off Rec, Bridge st, Northampton
GOUGH, ERNEST GEORGE, Abergavenny, Farmer Nov 23
at 12 Neville Rooms, Neville st, Abergavenny, Mon
GIBSON, JOHN FRISON, Hatherston, nr Nantwich Nov 23
at 3 Off Rec, King st, Newcastle, Staffs
HALSETT, GEORGE, Eastchurch, Sheppey, Kent, Farmer
Dec 2 at 12 15 115, High st, Rochester
HOPGOOD, CHARLES WILLIAM, Fratton, Portsmouth, Green-
grocer Nov 23 at 3 Off Rec, Cambridge junc, High
st, Portsmouth
IRELAND, CHARLES HENRY, Gt Grimsby, Engineer Nov 23
at 11 Off Rec, St Mary's chmbrs, Gt Grimsby
JACKSON, CHARLES ERNEST, Castle Esplanade, Durham,
Plumber Nov 23 at 4 Off Rec, 3, Manor pl, Sunder-
land
JONES, EDWARD WILLIAM, Upper Bangor, Carnarvon, Shoe-
maker Nov 23 at 2 30 Crypt chmbrs, Eastgate row,
Chester
JONES, GWYN, Penrynhyddraeth, Merioneth, Fruiterer
Nov 27 at 11 30 Crypt chmbrs, Eastgate row, Chester
JONES, JOHN, Stanleytown, Tylstown, Glam, Labourer
Nov 23 at 10 30 Off Rec, Post Office chmbrs, Ponty-
pridd
LARK, EDGAR WILLIAM, Lowestoft, Smack's Master Nov
23 at 12 30 Off Rec, King st, Norwich
LEVIN, REUBEN, Brick In, Whitechapel, Butcher Nov 23 at
12 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
MILNER, JOHN, Gt Grimsby, Grocer Nov 23 at 11 Off Rec,
St Mary's chmbrs, Gt Grimsby
MITCHELL, AUGUSTUS, Clement's In, Agent Nov 23 at 2 30
Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
NUTMAN, FREDERICK BIRNLEY, Lowestoft, Boot Maker
Nov 23 at 12 Off Rec, 8, King st, Norwich
RAMSAY, JOHN MOLL, Castlewood rd, Stamford Hill, Glass
Merchant Nov 23 at 11 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
RAPHAEL, MORRIS, Charing Cross rd, Tallor Nov 23 at 11
Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
SHAPIRA, VICTOR, Commercial st, Spitalfields Nov 23 at 12
Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
STANLEY, HENRY, Ockbrook, Derby Nov 23 at 11 Off Rec,
47, Full st, Derby
WOODS, SAMUEL, Grays, Essex, Labourer Dec 4 at 2
Shirehall, Chelmsford

ADJUDICATIONS.

ALLIE, JOHN HARRY, Spalding, Market Gardener Peter-
borough Pet Nov 11 Ord Nov 11
ARTHUR, CHARLES RICHARD, Addlestone, Surrey, Carpenter
Kingston, Surrey Pet Oct 22 Ord Oct 26
ATKINSON, ELIZA, Bowness on Windermere, Westmorland,
Draper Kendal Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13
AVERY, CHARLOTTE ANN, Church st, Stoke Newington,
Costumiers Edmonton Pet Nov 12 Ord Nov 13

BAKER, EDWARD JAMES, and WILLIAM THOMAS BAKER, Hoo,
Rochester, Master Potters Rochester Pet Nov 9
Ord Nov 9
BANDROFT, WILLIAM HENRY, Wakefield, Decorator Wake-
field Pet Oct 23 Ord Nov 9
BARR, HUBERT, Birmingham, Composer Birmingham
Pet Nov 11 Ord Nov 11
BARTER, FRANK CHARLES, Thetford House, Strand, Insurance
Agent High Court Pet June 11 Ord Nov 13
BEAVER, CHARLES, Andover, Lime Burner Salisbury Pet
Nov 11 Ord Nov 11
BOGGIANO, JAMES BERNARD, Liverpool, Commission Agent
Liverpool Pet Oct 23 Ord Nov 11
BRYANT, ROBERT HENRY, Bristol, Boot Manufacturer
Bristol Pet Oct 23 Ord Nov 13
BULLOCH, ALFRED NEWTON, Walsall Walsall Pet Nov 11
Ord Nov 11
BULTITUDE, GILBERT MAYNE, Cromer Norwich Pet Nov 13
Ord Nov 13
BUTLER, GEORGE, Sheffield, Beerhouse Keeper Sheffield
Pet Oct 25 Ord Nov 11
CALLINE, ISAIAH, Chasetown, nr Walsall, Minor Walsall
Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13
CLARK, FRED, Yeovil, Builder Yeovil Pet Nov 13 Ord
Nov 13
CLARK, HENRY CHRISTIAN, Bamber, Lincs, Accountants'
Clerk Lincoln Pet Nov 11 Ord Nov 11
COLES, HENRY JAMES, Adelaide rd, Hampstead, Engineer
High Court Pet Nov 14 Ord Nov 14
DIBDER, EDWIN, Brockwair, Glas, Carpenter Newport,
Mon Pet June 3 Ord Nov 11
EVANS, HENRY JOHN, Ilford, Certificated Bailiff Chelms-
ford Pet Nov 11 Ord Nov 11
FEWTELL, WILLIAM, Southport, Lancs, Gardener Liver-
pool Pet Nov 11 Ord Nov 11
FOTHERGILL, FREDERICK FELHAM, Borough rd, Southwark,
Dining Room Proprietor High Court Pet Nov 7 Ord
Nov 13
GARDNER, ARABELLA, Rhyll, Flint Bangor Ord Oct 25
Ord Nov 13
GOLDING, THOMAS WALLACE, Old Broad st, Solicitor High
Court Pet July 13 Ord Nov 11
GYDE, HARRY WARWICK, Gt Winchester st High Court
Pet Sept 16 Ord Nov 8
HAHN, GEORGE FRANCISCO, Bolsoise sq, Hampstead High
Court Pet Nov 4 Ord Nov 13
HARRIS, ARTHUR EDWIN, Ipswich Ipswich Pet Nov 13
Ord Nov 13
ILLINGWORTH, FREDERICK WILLIAM, Wakefield, Licensed
Victualler Wakefield Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13
IRELAND, CHARLES HENRY, Gt Grimsby, Engineer Gt
Grimsby Pet Nov 11 Ord Nov 11
JENKINS, FREDERICK WILLIAM, Queen Anne's chmbrs,
Westminster High Court Pet May 23 Ord Nov 13
JOHNSON, ALBERT, York, Butcher York Pet Oct 12 Ord
Nov 11
JONES, JOHN, Stanleytown, Tylstown, Glam, Labourer
Pontypridd Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13
KAYE, GEORGE, Linthwaite, Huddersfield, Quarryman
Huddersfield Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13
LANG, JOHN RENEELS, Bea Barn, Brixham, Devon, Smack
Owner Plymouth Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13
LEA, WILLIAM, Cardiff Cardiff Pet Nov 11 Ord Nov 11
LEVIN, REUBEN, Brick In, Whitechapel, Butcher High
Court Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13
LOWE, JAMES WILLIAM, St Helen's, Lancs, Grocer Liver-
pool Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13
MAXNE, CRAVEN FITZARDINGOR ALEXANDER, Bournemouth
Poole Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 12
NASH, ALFRED WILLIAM, Fishponds, Bristol, Plumber
Bristol Pet Oct 23 Ord Nov 13
NICHOLSON, THOMAS GEORGE, Drapers edns, Throgmorton
sq, Stock Jobber High Court Pet Sept 19 Ord Nov 13
PITCHFORD, THOMAS CLAREBROOK, Leeds, Butcher Leeds
Pet Oct 9 Ord Nov 9
POOK, HENRY JAMES, Burnham, General Haulier Bristol
Pet Oct 23 Ord Nov 13
PENNEMORE, JOHN, Eaton Ford, Beds, Farmer Bedford Pet
Oct 23 Ord Nov 11
QUINCY, EDWARD, Wantage, Berks, Licensed Victualler
Oxford Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13
RAPHAEL, MORRIS, Charing Cross rd, Tallor High Court
Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13
SHAPIRA, VICTOR, Commercial st, Spitalfields, Ladies'
Underclothing Manufacturer High Court Pet Nov 13
Ord Nov 13
SHEPHERD, JAMES EDWARD, Burnley, Grocer Burnley
Pet Nov 11 Ord Nov 13
SHUTTLEWORTH, WILLIAM HENRY, Halifax, Billiard Room
Manager Halifax Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13
SMITH, ARTHUR JOHN, Brankome, Dorset, Furniture
Dealer Poole Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13
SOLOMONS, C, White Lion st, Bishopsgate, Furrier High
Court Pet Sept 26 Ord Nov 7
TOLSON, JOHN EDWIN, Miffield, Yorks Dewsbury Pet
Nov 11 Ord Nov 11
VENUS, ELLEN ADA, Bournemouth, Proprietress of an
Apartment House Poole Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13
WALDOCK, GEORGE HENRY, Furriers Cross rd, Fulham, Corn
Merchant High Court Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13
WALKER, FRED, Bridlington, Yorks, Hay Dealer Scar-
borough Pet Oct 23 Ord Nov 11
WILSON, SYDNEY WILLIAM, Liverpool, Forwarding Agent
Liverpool Pet Oct 19 Ord Nov 11
ZACHARIA, CHARLES, Bridgend, Glamorgan Cardiff Pet Nov 13
Ord Nov 13
Amended notice substituted for that published in
the London Gazette of Oct 23:
ELVY, ROBERT OLIVER, Southend on Sea, Builder
Chelmsford Pet Oct 31 Ord Oct 31
Amended notice substituted for that published in
the London Gazette of Nov 5:
NEIGHBOUR, THOMAS HENRY, Haslemere, Coal Merchant
St Albans Pet Aug 24 Ord Oct 20
Amended notice substituted for that published in
the London Gazette of Nov 6:
WILLIAMS, THOMAS, Plymouth, Butcher Plymouth Pet
Nov 4 Ord Nov 4

ADJUDICATION ANNULLED.

JACOBS, JOHN MATTHEW, Brighton Brighton Adjud Jan 14, 1904 Annul Nov 1

RECEIVING ORDERS.

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, Nov. 19.
BARKER, JOHN, Sheffield, Dealer in Furniture Sheffield Pet Nov 14 Ord Nov 14
BARKOW, ALBERT EDWARD, Worcester, Cook Worcester Pet Nov 15 Ord Nov 15
BEATER, COLIN, Fuzedown park, Streatham, Credit Draper Wandsworth Pet Oct 14 Ord Nov 14
BEECH, JOHN THOMAS, Long Eaton, Derby, Tailor Derby Pet Nov 15 Ord Nov 15
BOUGHIST, WALTER SAMUEL, Church Streeton, Salop, Tailor Shrewsbury Pet Nov 15 Ord Nov 15
BRIDGES, FREDERICK JOHN, Rushall, Kent Tunbridge Wells Pet Nov 14 Ord Nov 15
CALVERT, WILLIAM, Cumberland st, Actor Manchester Pet Nov 15 Ord Nov 15
CLEMENTS, HENRY GEORGE, Walsby, Cambridge, Teacher of Music King's Lynn Pet Nov 14 Ord Nov 14
EDWARDS, WILLIAM HENRY, High st, Bethesda, Cycle Dealer Bangor Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13
FARMER, GEORGE EDWARD, Walth upon Dearne, Yorks, Glass Dealer Sheffield Pet Nov 15 Ord Nov 15
FINNIS, GEORGE, Ellington Park rd, Hamgate, Baker Canterbury Pet Nov 15 Ord Nov 15
FOWLER, WILLIAM, Sunderland, Physician Sunderland Pet Nov 15 Ord Nov 15
FRANK, CHARLES, Trefri, Carnarvon, Hotel Keeper Portmadoc Ord Sept 21 Ord Nov 16
GOULDING, WILLIAM, Levenshulme, nr Manchester, Estate Agent Manchester Pet Nov 15 Ord Nov 15
GRIFFIN, JOSEPH, and CHARLES JOHN GRIFFIN, Wilford, Notts, Asphaltes Nottingham Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 14
GRIFFITH, THOMAS, Tontland, Glam, Carpenter Pontypridd Pet Nov 15 Ord Nov 15
HADDT, FREDERICK, Morning ln, Hackney, Baker High Court Pet Oct 24 Ord Nov 15
HELLINGS, HENRY RICHARD, Red Lion st, St George's East, Van Builder High Court Pet Oct 23 Ord Nov 15
HELISTROM, CARL EDWARD, Freeswater, Waltham Cross, Fruit Grower Edmonton Pet Nov 14 Ord Nov 14
HENDER, SAMUEL, Frome, Presbyterian Minister Frome Pet Nov 14 Ord Nov 14
HILDER, JOHN, Ashford, Farmer Canterbury Pet Nov 16 Ord Nov 16
HOLLE, EDWARD JAMES, Landport, Hants, Decorator Portsmouth Pet Nov 15 Ord Nov 15
HOLDEN, TOM, Nelson, Lancs, Bookkeeper Burnley Pet Nov 15 Ord Nov 15
HOLLAND, CHARLES FREDERICK TOWNSEND, Coventry, Lieutenant Coventry Pet Sept 24 Ord Nov 15
IRLAND, ARTHUR, Truro, Assistant School Teacher Truro Pet Nov 16 Ord Nov 16
JACKSON, FRED, Goole, Yorks, Hairdresser Wakefield Pet Nov 15 Ord Nov 15
JOHNSON, WALTER HENRY, Chorlton cum Hardy, Buyer Salford Pet Nov 14 Ord Nov 14
KING, HENRY, Stroud, Glos, Cycle Maker Gloucester Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 13
LARAZUS, J, Uxbridge rd, West Ealing, House Furnisher Brentford Pet Oct 24 Ord Nov 15
NATLON, CHARLES JAMES, Queen st, Hammersmith, Grocer High Court Pet Nov 13 Ord Nov 16
PEWELL, REGINALD HAROLD, Bridgewater, Grocer Bridgewater Pet Nov 16 Ord Nov 16
POWELL, JOSEPH, Market Drayton, Salop, Carriage Builder Crewe Pet Nov 1 Ord Nov 14
PURRY, THOMAS, JUN, Lichfield, Staffs, Hotel Proprietor Wallall Pet Nov 2 Ord Nov 13
ST JOHN, ANNIE, Chalford, Glos Gloucester Pet Oct 28 Ord Nov 13
SOUTHWELL, ALFRED, Linthorpe rd, Stamford Hill, Fruit Salesman High Court Pet Oct 26 Ord Nov 14
SWIFT, RICHARD, Preston, Lancs, Bookseller Preston Pet Nov 14 Ord Nov 14
THORNE, RICHARD, Fordington, Dorchester, Dorset, Haulier Dorchester Pet Nov 15 Ord Nov 16
W F TUTTLE & Co, Hewitt st, Curtain rd, Shoreditch, Moulding Manufacturers High Court Pet Nov 6 Ord Nov 14
WARD, JOHN WILLIAM, Oldham Oldham Pet Nov 14 Ord Nov 14
WHITE, RICHARD, Bearwood, Smethwick, Staffs West Bromwich Pet Oct 14 Ord Nov 15
WILDE, ALGERNON SIDNEY, Thorpe Hamlet, Norwich, Accountant Norwich Pet Oct 24 Ord Nov 13
WILLIAMS, RICHARD, Aberbargoed, Mon, Colliery Hiltzer Trefegart Pet Nov 14 Ord Nov 14
WRAY, ALBERT, Kingston upon Hull Kingston upon Hull Pet Nov 14 Ord Nov 14
WYKES, JOHN, and HENRY EWARD GLADSTONE WYKES, Peterborough, Builders Peterborough Pet Nov 16 Ord Nov 16
YOULL, GEORGE, Bournebrook, Worcester, Painter Birmingham Pet Nov 14 Ord Nov 14

Amended notice substituted for that published in the London Gazette of Nov 15:

SAKOSCHANSKY, JOSEPH, Nottingham Nottingham Pet Nov 1 Ord Nov 13

FIRST MEETINGS.

BEATER, COLIN, Fuzedown park, Streatham, Credit D. aper Nov 27 at 13 133, York rd, Westminster Bridge
BEAVES, CHARLES, Andover, Southampton, Lime Burner Nov 28 at 1 Off Rec, City chambers, Catherine st, Salisbury
BRIDGES, FREDERICK JOHN, Rushall, Kent Dec 9 at 11.15 C J Farris, 67, High st, Tunbridge Wells
BUTLER, GEORGE, Sheffield, Beerhouse Keeper Nov 27 at 12 Off Rec, Nigtree ln, Sheffield
CASTLES, HENRY JOSEPH, Glastonbury, Draper Nov 27 at 12 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
CLARK, HENRY CHRISTIAN, Hammer, Lincs, Accountant's Clerk Nov 28 at 12 Off Rec, 31, Silver st, Lincoln
CLEMENTS, HENRY GEORGE, Walsby, Cambridge, Teacher of Music Dec 12 at 10.30 Court house, King's Lynn
COLLES, ERNEST JAMES, Adelaide rd, Hampstead, Engineer Nov 29 at 13 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

CROSSLEY, JOSEPH, Gt Harwood, Lancs, Weaver Nov 27 at 11 Off Rec, 14, Chapel st, Preston
EDOR, JOHN ANTHONY, and SAMUEL WOOLLIAN EDGE, Bishops Castle, Salop, Clothiers Nov 27 at 3.15 2, Offa st, Hereford
EVANS, DAVID, Penygroes, Carnarvon, Master Painter Nov 29 at 12 Crypt chambers, Eastgate row, Chester
EVANS, HENRY JOHN, Telford, Essex, Certificated Bailiff Nov 27 at 12 14, Bedford row, London
GIBSON, GEORGE, St Philips, Bristol, Photographer Nov 27 at 11.45 Off Rec, 26, Baldwin st, Bristol
HANNOY, WILLIAM GEORGE, Sunderland, Wine Merchant Nov 27 at 3 Off Rec, 3, Manor pl, Sunderland
HARRIS, ARTHUR EDWIN, Ipswich Nov 27 at 2 Off Rec, 36, Princes st, Ipswich
HADDT, FREDERICK, Morning ln, Hackney, Baker Nov 29 at Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
HELLINGS, HENRY RICHARD, Red Lion st, St George's East, Van Builder Nov 27 at 1 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
HENDER, SAMUEL, Frome, Presbyterian Minister Nov 27 at 12.15 Off Rec, 26, Baldwin st, Bristol
HIRST, SAM, Godalming, Surrey, Draper's Assistant Nov 27 at 11.30 133, York rd, Westminster Bridge
HOWSON, GILBERT HENRY, Shirehampton, Bristol, Tailor Nov 27 at 12 Off Rec, 26, Baldwin st, Bristol
ILLINGWORTH, FREDERICK WILLIAM, Wakefield, Licensed Victualler Nov 27 at 11 Off Rec, 6, Bond ter, Wakefield
JACKSON, FRED, Goole, Yorks, Hairdresser Nov 28 at 10.30 Carlisle chambers, Goole
JENNIS, SAMUEL, Haverhill, Fishponds, Bristol, Cabinet Maker Nov 27 at 11.30 Off Rec, 26, Baldwin st, Bristol
JOHNSON, JAMES HENRY, Wincob 86 Peter, Cambridge, Builder Dec 13 at 10.15 Court house, King's Lynn
JOHNSON, WALTER HENRY, Chorlton cum Hardy, Lancs, Buyer Nov 27 at 3 Off Rec, Byrom st, Manchester
JOHNSTON, H S, Brighton Nov 28 at 10.30 Off Rec, 4 Pavilion bldg, Brighton
KATE, GEORGE, Huddersfield, Huddersfield, Quarryman Nov 29 at 3 Huddersfield Incorporated Law Society's Room, Imperial arcade, New st, Huddersfield
KING, ERNEST WILLIAM, Stroud, Glos, Cycle Maker Nov 30 at 12 Off Rec, Station rd, Gloucester
LAWSON, GEORGE, Gt Yarmouth, Smack Owner Nov 28 at 2 45 Mr Lovell Blake, South quay, Gt Yarmouth
LOWE, JOHN HENRY, Leicester, Furniture Dealer Nov 27 at 12 Off Rec, 1, Bedford st, Leicester
MAXER, CHRYN FITZGERALD ALEXANDER, Bournemouth Nov 28 at 2 Messrs Curtis & Son, 188, Old Christchurch rd, Bournemouth
MORRIS, JOSEPH, Wymering macs, Maida Vale, Investment Broker Nov 27 at 11 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
MULLINS, WALTER, St John's, Woking, Grocer's Assistant Dec 3 at 11.30 Court and Borough Halls, North st, Guildford
NORRIS, CHARLES ROBERT, Folkestone, Tailor Nov 27 at 10.15 Off Rec, 68A, Cardie st, Canterbury
PERRINGTON, ELI, JUN, Addington, nr Macclesfield, Farmer Nov 28 at 11 Off Rec, 23, King Edward st, Macclesfield
POWER, EDWARD, Queen Victoria st, Surveyor Nov 28 at 12 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
PRENDERGAST, JOHN, Bakers, Bels, Farmer Nov 27 at 12.30 Off Rec, Bridge st, Northampton
PURRY, THOMAS, JUN, Lichfield, Staffs, Hotel Proprietor Nov 27 at 3 Swan Hotel, Lichfield
ROTHWELL, JAMES, Blackburn, Plumber Nov 27 at 11.15 Off Rec, 14, Chapel st, Preston
SEAGER, HARRIETT MARIA, Ipswich Nov 27 at 11 Off Rec, 36, Princes st, Ipswich
SHEPHERD, JAMES EDWARD, Burnley, Grocer Nov 27 at 11.30 Off Rec, 14, Chapel st, Preston
SHUTTLEWORTH, WILLIAM HENRY, Halifax, Billiard Room Manager Nov 28 at 10.45 County Court House, Prescott st, Halifax
SMITH, ARTHUR JOHN, Branksome, Furniture Dealer Nov 28 at 3.30 Messrs Curtis & Son, 188, Old Christchurch rd, Bournemouth
SOUTHWELL, ALFRED, Linthorpe rd, Stamford Hill, Fruit Salesman Dec 3 at 12 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
STODART, EDWARD WILLIAM, Oxford, Portrait Engraver Nov 27 at 12 1, 86 Aldate, Oxford
SUMNERGILL, THOMAS, Newton Heath, Manchester Nov 29 at 11 Off Rec, Greaves st, Oldham
TOLSON, JOHN EDWIN, Miffield, Yorks Nov 29 at 11 Off Rec, Bank chambers, Corporation st, Dewbury
TUTTLE & Co, W F, Curtain rd, Shoreditch, Moulding Manufacturers Nov 28 at 1 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
VENUS, ELLER ADA, Bournemouth, Proprietress of an Apartments House Nov 28 at 2.30 Messrs. Curtis & Son, 188, Old Christchurch rd, Bournemouth
WALDOCK, GEORGE HENRY, Fursers Cross rd, Fulham, Corn Merchant Dec 2 at 11 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st
WARD, JOHN WILLIAM, Oldham Nov 29 at 12 Off Rec, Greaves st, Oldham
WHILLIER, THOMAS, Hove, Sussex, Builder Nov 28 at 10 Off Rec, 4, Pavilion bldg, Brighton
WRAY, ALBERT, Kingston upon Hull Nov 28 at 11 Off Rec, York City chambers, Lowgate, Hull
 Amended notice substituted for that published in the London Gazette of Oct 29:
HALL, ROBERT HENRY, Colne Engine, Farmer Nov 29 at 11 Cups Hotel, Colchester

APPOINTMENTS.—The HIGHER TELEGRAPH SERVICES afford SPLENDID OPPORTUNITIES to youths and young men of fair education and average ability and who are not over 23 years of age. For a moderate premium, PERMANENT APPOINTMENTS, at commencing salaries of from £150 to £180 per annum, with PENSION, are secured by the London Telegraph Training College, Ltd., for its Students. Established 15 years, and the only Institution of its kind recognised by the principal Cable and Wireless Telegraph Companies. Twenty-eight Appointments secured for Students WITHIN THE LAST EIGHT MONTHS. WIRELESS TELEGRAPHY a Speciality. The strictest investigation is invited as regards bona fides.—Apply for New Illustrated Prospectus to MANAGERS (6), Morse House, Earl's-court, S.W.

SOLICITOR, age 31, Desires Clerkship, S with a view to Partnership, or would Practice a Small Practice.—Address A. B., care of "Solicitors' Journal and Weekly Reporter" Office, 27, Chancery-lane, W.C.

LAW COSTS.—Testimonial: "Aug. 30th, 1907.—Mr. HARBOURD SMITH has just completed the drafting of a complicated bill of costs in the winding up of a considerable estate to our entire satisfaction, and we have no hesitation in recommending him to other members of our profession for similar work."—Apply, HARBOURD SMITH, Partnership Agent, &c., 61, Chancery-lane, London.

LAW.—GREAT SAVING.—For prompt payment 25 per cent. will be taken off the following writing charges:—

	s. d.
Abstracts Copied	... 0 8 per sheet.
Briefs and Drafts	... 2 3 per 20 folios.
Deeds Round Hand	... 0 2 per folio.
Deeds Abstracted	... 2 0 per sheet.
Full Copies	... 0 2 per folio.
PAPER.—Foolscap, 1d. per sheet; Draft, 4d. 6d.	
Parchment, 1s. 6d. to 3s. 6d. per skin.	

KERR & LATHAM, 16, Fumival-street, Holborn, E.C.

SOUND INVESTMENT for £2,000.—Six per Cent. First Mortgage Debentures; Industrial Business; seven years' audited accounts; Directorship desired.—Messrs. COUTTS, BOURNE, & BOURNE, "Conch" Offices, 19-21, Moorgate-street, London, E.C.

FREEHOLD GROUND-RENTS Required to Purchase, secured on Weekly Properties up to 14 years' and upon quarterlies up to 264 years'; large funds available, over £200,000 having been invested this year in this class of security through Messrs. BOTTOM, SON, & TAYLOR, 70, Coleman-street, E.C., with whom Solicitors, Principals, and others are invited to communicate.

OFFICES, near Temple.—Solicitor (old-established) would let one of his First-class Offices, with Use of General Office; suit elderly Practitioners requiring comfort and quiet.—Write TEMPLE, care of "Solicitors' Journal and Weekly Reporter" Office, 27, Chancery-lane, W.C.

BACHELOR'S ROOMS Vacant; handsomely furnished; unique position facing park; suit aspect; every modern comfort; references gentlemen in residence.—O. R., "Solicitors' Journal and Weekly Reporter" Office, 27, Chancery-lane, W.C.

TO CAPITALISTS.—Old-established Builder and Government Contractor Wishes to Borrow £20,000 to £35,000, fully secured on 400ft. frontage Freehold Shop Plots on fine public road, and on other equally sound securities; please to quote lowest interest required.—Box 195, "Solicitors' Journal and Weekly Reporter" Office, 27, Chancery-lane, W.C.

10 and 12, LUDGATE HILL, and AVE MARIA LANE, E.C. (late Daniel Lambert Tavern).—The exceptionally well-lighted Upper Part of Four Floors in this magnificent Modern Building TO BE LET as OFFICES, SHOWROOMS, &c.; alterations to suit tenants' requirements.—Apply to the Sole Agent, Mr. FREDERICK WARMAN, 52, Chancery-lane, W.C., and 3 Highbury, N.

TO BE LET on Lease for 21 years.—A capital HOUSE in St. Helen's-place, Bishopsgate-street Within, suitable for Merchants, Professionals, or a Company requiring an entire house.—Apply to Messrs. WALKER & SON, 22, Moorgate-street, E.C.

OFFICES TO LET, Chancery-lane.—Three good Rooms, in excellent position, and exclusive use of passage; rent low.—Write C. H., care of Reynell's Advertisement Office, 44, Chancery-lane, W.C.

CHANCERY LANE.—Lonsdale-chambers.—Excellent OFFICES TO BE LET in this Modern Building; Suites and Single Rooms; passenger lift; rents very moderate.—Apply to Messrs. JONES, LAW, & CO., 27, Chancery-lane, W.C.

BUDGE ROW, Cannon-street (close to the Station).—TO LET, a SUITE of Five very light ROOMS on first floor, with lift.—Apply to Messrs. WALKER & SON, 22, Moorgate-street, E.C.

BRILL'S BRIGHTON BATHS COM-PANY.—Wanted to PURCHASE, SHARES in this Company, for which Two Pounds (£2) per Share will be paid.—Address J. H. BILLINGHAM, 30, Borough High-street, London, who may be seen by previous appointment. Only Shareholders will be dealt with.

LABELLED EPPS'S TINS ONLY.
 SORENESS AND DRYNESS.
 HOARSENESS, TICKLING AND IRRITATION.

THROAT AND COUGH
 SOFTEN AND CLEAR THE VOICE.
 ALWAYS EFFECTIVE.

7¹D.—JUJUBES—13¹D.
 JAMES EPPS & CO., Ltd., Homoeopathic Chemists, London.

1907.

Clerkship.
Purchase a
tors' Journal
se, W.C.

Aug. 30th.
not completed
the winding
fraction, and
m to other
rk."—Apply
l, Chancery.

r prompt
he following

neet.
o folies.
olio.
neet.
olio.
ed. dille

ibora, R.P.

00. — Six
Industrial
rectorship if
"Oonah"

Required
ies up to 24
large funds
this year in
on, Bore, &
a Solicitors,
se.

or (old-
floor Office,
ctioner re-
s. care of
Office, R.

; hand-
park; south
entlemen in
ad Weekly

ablished
Wishes to
ft. frontage
d on other
vest interest
nd Weekly

and AVE
l Lambert
per Part of
ing TO BE
terations to
Agent, Mr.
C., and at

years.—A
ishop-pu-
sional, or a
Messrs. S.

lane. —
n, and ex-
H., care of
ane, W.C.

hambers.
his Modern
essenger HB;
OWNS, LAW,

se to the
very light
Messrs. S.

COM-
RES in this
are will be
ough High-
pointment.

ONLY.

TATION.

JQH
IL

31st.
Chemists